

1. INTRODUCTION

The Karnataka Electricity Regulatory Commission was established in November 1999. It has completed over seven years of its functioning. In the matter of determination of Tariff, the Commission is guided by the provisions of the Electricity Act 2003 and also the National Tariff Policy of the Government of India issued on 06.01.2006. In pursuance of the said Act/Policy, the Commission has issued the MYT Regulations, wherein the terms and conditions for determination of transmission, distribution and retail supply of electricity are set out. These regulations were notified on 31.05.2006 and are applicable for all the tariffs determined by the Commission after 01.04.2007.

During the year 2006-07, the Commission has taken new initiatives like harnessing captive power generation, generation of power from municipal waste etc. The Commission has issued orders determining the tariff in respect of Captive Power Plants and waste to energy. By this, the utilities can explore utilizing additional capacities to meet energy shortages and provide reliable and quality power supply to the consumers. The Commission has continued its efforts to consolidate the gains achieved so far and is continuing its endeavor to be fair and transparent in all its activities. The Commission has also continued its challenge to protect the interest of the consumers, without jeopardizing the interests of the licensees. The activities of the Commission during FY 07 have been presented in this Annual Report. This report also includes, wherever appropriate, information, data, events and action taken reports up to the date of preparation of this report to provide a coherent and upto dated information on the activities of the Commission.

1.1 The Commission

Sri K.P. Pandey is the Chairman of the Commission and Sri. H.S. Subramanya and Sri S.D. Ukkali are the Members. Sri. K.P. Pandey

assumed charge on 15.12.2004 with Sri. H.S. Subramanya and Sri S.D. Ukkali assuming charge on 14.07.2003.

1.2 The Commission's Office

The Commission's Office, which is headed by the Secretary Sri Shaik Ahmed, a retired KAS, Selection grade Officer appointed by the Commission on contract basis with effect from 03.05.2006.

The organizational chart of the Commission is given in **Annex- 1.1**

1.3 Commission's Meetings

During FY07/FY08, the Commission held 7 meetings and a number of issues were discussed and decisions were taken. Some of the important issues on which decisions were taken are as listed below:

- a) Approval of Conditions of Supply of Electricity of Distribution Licensees in the State of Karnataka.
- b) Review and Monitoring of implementation of Intra-state ABT
- c) Review of Third Party Assessment of IP consumption
- d) Approval of use of Aerial Bunched Cables (ABC) for LT & HT lines in the distribution system on receipt of suitable proposals from the ESCOMs
- e) Review of Census of IP sets taken up by ESCOMs.
- f) Approval of the transmission and retail supply tariff of KPTCL and ESCOMs respectively in Tariff Orders issued during 2006.
- g) Approval of Transmission Tariff of KPTCL under MYT framework in July 2007.

2. PROGRESS ON WORK PROGRAMME FOR FY07

2.1 KPTCL Tariff Order for FY 07

KPTCL filed its ERC for FY07 on 30.11.2005 along with the Tariff Application. The Commission communicated its preliminary observations on 06.12.2005 and KPTCL provided replies to these observations on 15.12.2005. The application was cleared for publication on 16.12.2005 and public notifications were issued by KPTCL on 24th and 25th of December 2005.

The Commission conducted a public hearing on 21st March 2006 to elicit the views/suggestions/objections on ERC/Tariff filing of KPTCL. Participants across the various cross sections of the society aired their views on various aspects of the filing.

After due consideration of the views/suggestions/objections from various stake-holders and the analysis made by the Expert Committee and the Consultants, the Commission issued KPTCL's Tariff Order on 07.04.2006. The salient features of the Tariff Orders are listed below:

- a) Transmission losses benchmarked at 4.06% for FY07.
- b) Transmission expenses approved at Rs. 681.46 Crores for FY07 against proposed expenses of Rs. 991.74 Crores.
- c) Capex approved to a tune of Rs.1755 Crores for FY07 against the proposed capex of Rs. 2700 Crores.
- d) Transmission tariff fixed at 19.42 ps/unit as against the proposal of 26.06 ps/unit

However, KPTCL challenged the above Tariff Order before the Hon'ble ATE vide Appeal no. 84 of 2006. The highlights of the Hon'ble ATE Order dated 29th August 2006 are:

1. "It is the commercial decision of the utility and its source to raise funds which falls within the domain of the utility and not liable to be

interfered, except at the stage when the utility claims for returns on such investment, interest on capital expenditure and depreciation. It is at that stage the Commission shall undertake a prudent check and if deemed fit allows the claim. In appropriate cases, the Commission may disallow such claims of the utility and it is for the utility to bear the brunt of such investment and it cannot pass it on to the consumers."

2. "Till investment is complete, the utility is not entitled to claim either finance or interest or return on investment".
3. The transmission losses benchmarked by the Commission at 4.06% were upheld.
4. As regards allowing depreciation at old rates and allowing RoE at 14% on equity and reserves, the Hon'ble ATE ordered the same to be considered while undertaking truing up exercise.

2.2 ERC and Tariff Filing of ESCOMs for FY 07.

Though the ESCOMs were due to file ERC/Tariff applications for FY07 on or before 30th November 2005, actual filings were made on 12th May 2006 by all the ESCOMs.

After communicating its observations and receipt of replies from ESCOMs, the Commission cleared the application for publications during June-July 2006, inviting views/objections/suggestions from all the stakeholders

The Commission conducted the public hearings during August/September/October 2006. Validation meetings were also held with each ESCOM separately.

The Commission engaged the consultancy services of M/s Administrative Staff College of India, Hyderabad (ASCI) to assist the Commission in

evaluating the ERC/Tariff filing of ESCOMs. The Commission also constituted an Expert Committee to review the capex proposals of ESCOMs.

After due consideration of the views/suggestions/objections from various stake-holders and the analysis made by the Expert Committee and the Consultants, the Commission issued Tariff Order for ESCOMs on 16.10.2006.

The Commission arrived at an ARR of Rs. 8275 Crores and allowed power purchases based on sales and allowable losses as per the Tariff Policy of the Government of India. The T&D loss for the state allowed by the Commission for 2006-07 is 25% as against 26% allowed for 2005-06.

The major items of expenditure not allowed by the Commission are:

1. Power purchase cost of Rs. 1342 Crores by allowing power purchase based on approved sales plus normative allowable losses only and by applying merit order. The Commission did not allow power purchase for unauthorized consumption by IP sets/ excessive losses, as the same cannot be expected to be borne by the honest paying consumers.
2. As per Order dated 29.08.2006 of the Hon'ble Appellate Tribunal for Electricity, New Delhi, on the appeal filed by KPTCL against Tariff Order dated 07.04.2006 on the KPTCL's ERC, the Commission did not allow interest of about Rs. 200 Crores (all ESCOMs put together) on new loans for capital works. According to the Tribunal, interest on loans for capital works and depreciation on new capital investment have to be allowed for pass through in tariff only after completion of works followed by prudent check by the Commission.

The salient features of this tariff order of ESCOMs for FY07 are as follows:

1. The average cost of supply in 2006-07 as approved by the Commission was Rs. 3.20 per unit as against Rs.3.64 proposed by the ESCOMs. The approved average cost per unit in 2005-2006 was Rs.3.56.
2. The Commission had introduced different tariffs to urban & rural areas in September 2005 Tariff Order considering the fact that quality of power supply is not the same in urban and rural areas and the same was continued.

The Commission deliberated on introduction of Company-wise differential tariff in the present proceedings also and in view of mixed reactions, decided not to introduce the same in this order. There is a need to continue educating the consumers on the advantages and disadvantages of having a differential tariff among ESCOMs.

In the Tariff Order 2005, the Commission had introduced different fixed charges for urban and rural areas. In the present order, the Commission, while continuing with different fixed charges, further reduced the energy charges for urban and rural area consumers in order to provide greater relief to consumers linked to their consumption.

3. Keeping in view that the quality of power supply is not the same as in Bangalore Metropolitan area, the Commission reduced the tariff in other urban/semi urban and rural areas as follows in the present order:
 - ▶ Bhagya Jyothi/ Kutir Jyothi tariff reduced by 35-paise/ units.
 - ▶ For domestic lighting & heating consumers in Village Panchayat areas the energy charge reduced by 20 paise per unit.
 - ▶ For Educational Institutions in Village Panchayat areas Energy charge reduced by 10 paise per unit.

- ▶ For Consumers under LT commercial category (LT3) in urban areas (other than Bangalore Metropolitan area), Energy charge reduced by 10 paise per unit and for those in Village Panchayat Areas, the same is reduced by 20 paise/unit.
- ▶ For IP set consumers having load upto and inclusive of 10 HP and having meters, Energy charge reduced by 10 paise per unit. It is expected that this will encourage metering to a large extent. This relief is in addition to difference in fixed charges already existing.
- ▶ For Horticulture Nurseries, Coffee & Tea Plantations upto and inclusive of 10 HP, fixed charge reduced by Rs.10/HP/month and energy charge by 20 paise/unit.
- ▶ For Consumers under LT 5 industrial category in urban areas (other than Bangalore Metropolitan area) and in rural areas, Energy charge reduced by 15 paise per unit.
- ▶ For Consumers under LT 5 industrial category, in urban areas (other than Bangalore Metropolitan area) and in rural areas, fixed charge reduced by Rs. 20/HP/month for sanctioned load of 67 HP and above.
- ▶ In order to encourage location of industries outside Bangalore, for Consumers under HT industrial category HT2 (a) (other than Bangalore Metropolitan area) including rural areas, Energy charge reduced by 15 paise/unit.
- ▶ For HT commercial consumers under HT2 (b), (other than Bangalore Metropolitan area)), Energy charge reduced by 15 paise/unit.
- ▶ For Lift Irrigation Schemes (other than those fed through urban feeders), Energy charge reduced by 10 paise/unit on par with IP consumers under LT.
- ▶ For HT residential apartments in Village Panchayats, Energy charge reduced by 20 paise/ unit on par with domestic consumers under LT.

4. Special Incentive Scheme: Rs.3.80 Special Incentive Scheme for HT industries has been continued in Bangalore Metropolitan Area.

Further, the Special Incentive Scheme in areas other than Bangalore Metropolitan area is continued with reduced rate of, Rs.3.65 per unit to encourage industries in other areas.

- 5. Open Access Charges:** The transmission charge, wheeling charges and cross subsidy surcharge retained at the existing level as determined in April 2006
- 6. TOD Tariff:** Penalty for usage of power by industry during morning peak (between 09 Hrs to 12Hrs) under TOD tariff removed.
- 7. Solar Rebate increased:** For use of Solar heaters the Commission increased the rebate from the existing 40 Paise to 50 paise per unit subject to a ceiling of Rs.50 per month.
- 8. High Voltage Rebate:** For high Voltage consumers high voltage rebate is enhanced.
- 9. Seasonal Industries:** To grant relief mainly to rice millers, off season facility has been enhanced from the existing 3 months to 6 months. This facility would be applicable to all seasonal industries.

However, all the ESCOMs have challenged the Commission's Tariff Order 2007 before the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity vide appeal No. 250 of 2006 and the orders of the Commission have been stayed by the Hon'ble ATE. A final decision of the Hon'ble ATE is awaited.

2.3 ERC of Hukkeri Co-Operative Society for FY07:

ERC application for FY06 was filed by the Society on 16.01.2006. The Commission communicated its preliminary observations on 23.01.2006. The Society provided compliance to the observations on 29.03.2006. The Commission issued a separate order in respect of the ERC of the Society on 23rd Nov 2006, approving the same retail tariff as applicable to the

consumers in the ESCOMs area. The distribution loss for the Society was approved at 14.75% for FY07 against a proposed loss of 15.26%.

2.4 Regulations/Orders issued by the Commission

a. MYT Related Regulations

1. KERC (Terms and Conditions for Determination of Tariff for Distribution and Retail Sale of Electricity) Regulations, 2006- Notified on 31.05.2006
2. KERC (Terms and Conditions for Determination of Transmission Tariff) Regulations, 2006- Notified on 31.05.2006

b. Other Regulations/Amendments to Regulations

1. First Amendment to the KERC (Terms and Conditions to Open Access) Regulations was also issued and notified. - Notified on 31.05.2006

c. Other Orders

1. Cross-subsidy Surcharge determined for Open Access customers- Order issued on 20.04.2006
2. Order on "Third Party Meter Testing Agency" issued on 11.05.2006
3. Approach to determination of tariff for 'Waste to Energy Projects' using Municipal Solid Waste supplied by Urban Local Bodies issued on 21.07.2006
4. Order on Harnessing Captive Power Generation in the State issued on 27.02.2007

All the Regulations/Orders were formulated in-house by the Commission.

2.5 Model conditions of supply

As per clause 7.1 of KERC (Conditions of License for ESCOMs) Regulations 2004, the Distribution Licensees shall submit a draft "Model conditions of

supply" along with draft "Standard Agreement" to the Commission for approval within 3 months of the Conditions of License coming into force. To facilitate the Distribution Licensees in this regard, the Commission prepared the draft of "Model Conditions of supply" and circulated the same to the licensees and other stakeholders for obtaining their views/comments. A few stakeholders including ESCOMs sent their comments on the said draft. Some of the important issues covered under the "Conditions of Supply" are:

- a. System and Classification of supply
- b. General procedure for arranging supply
- c. General Wiring Conditions
- d. Power factor of installations
- e. Metering and power supply charges
- f. Rating of installations
- g. Appeals etc

After considering the comments and views from the Licensees and other stakeholders the Commission approved the "Conditions of Supply" on 31.05.2006.

2.6 KPTCL's Load Forecast

The report on "Demand Forecast, Demand Supply Scenario and Perspective Plan for the state of Karnataka for the 10th and 11th Plan Period" filed by KPTCL had been returned by the Commission in January 2005 with directions to revise the load forecast such that the load forecast is linked to the business plan of the utilities with due firm commitment from the GoK. Since, KPTCL/ESCOMs did not respond in the matter, the Commission took up the matter with GoK vide its letter dated 14.07.2006 requesting GoK to prevail upon KPTCL/ESCOMs so that revised load

forecast is prepared in complete manner and placed before the Commission. GoK vide its letter dated 14.08.2006 directed KPTCL/ESCOMs to prepare & submit load forecast in complete manner and place before the Commission at the earliest. Subsequently, KPTCL submitted the revised load forecast on 02.01.2007. Since, the load forecast was not accompanied by business plan, KERC vide its letter dated 08.01.2007, directed KPTCL to furnish business plan justifying the Long-Term Load forecast, in case Commission's approval is sought. KPTCL submitted the business plan for its Transmission business along with the ERC, which is yet to be validated by the Commission. However, ESCOMs have not furnished the business plan so far. In absence of business plan of ESCOMs, the Commission is unable to accept the Long-Term Load forecast report submitted by KPTCL, as the forecast for the State is arrived at by summing up the individual forecasts of ESCOMs. Once the business plan of ESCOMs is placed before the Commission, the Commission would take further action in the matter.

Further, in view of the Order of Hon'ble ATE in Appeal No 84 of 2006 to the effect that "... it is for the transmission utility or such other utility to estimate the future demand utilities have the freedom to plan with respect to their investment.....", the Commission would only look into the reasonableness of the load forecast as and when the business plan of ESCOMs is submitted. The Commission would also consider modifying the relevant provisions in the MYT Regulations, Grid Code as well as load forecast guidelines, suitably in view of the Hon'ble ATE Order.

2.7 Introduction of Multi Year Tariff (MYT) FY08-FY10:

As mandated in the National Tariff Policy, the Commission issued regulations on MYT on 31.05.2006. Accordingly, KPTCL/ESCOMs were

required to file their ERC filing under MYT for the first control period of three years for the period FY08-FY10.

The Commission appointed M/s Price Waterhouse & Coopers (PWC) as consultants for assisting the Commission in evaluating the filings and for passing the tariff order under MYT regime.

KPTCL filed its ERC and Tariff application on 30.11.2006.

ESCOMs filed their ERCs on the following dates:

BESCOM: 30.11.2006
MESCOM: 19.12.2006
CESC: 06.12.2006
HESCOM: 19.12.2006
GESCOM: 19.12.2006

The Commission communicated its preliminary observations on the following dates, duly returning the ERCs filed by them:

KPTCL: 11.12.2006
BESCOM: 19.12.2006
MESCOM: 05.01.2007
CESC: 20.12.2006
HESCOM: 05.01.2007
GESCOM: 05.01.2007

KPTCL

KPTCL was informed to publish the notification in the newspapers, calling for objections from the stakeholders within seven days from 20.03.2007. The said notice was arranged for publication by KPTCL on 26th and 27th March 2007. In response to the notices, the Commission received 7 objections in proper format (with affidavit) within the stipulated time. KPTCL provided replies to all the 7 objections. The Commission held a Public Hearing on KPTCL's ERC & Tariff petitions on 28th and 29th May 2007 at the office of KERC Bangalore.

The Commission, after validating the filing of KPTCL, their replies to the preliminary observations as well as to the rejoinders made by the Commission, objections received from the stakeholders and replies made by KPTCL, issued the Tariff Order in respect of KPTCL's ERC and Tariff Application on 6th July 2007. The highlights of the Order are listed below:

- The Commission finalized the ARR and determined the tariff taking into account the Orders of the Hon'ble Appellate Tribunal for Electricity (ATE), New Delhi in its Appeal Petition No. 84 of 2006 filed by KPTCL on Tariff Order 2006 and Appeal Petition No. 107 of 206 filed by KPTCL & ESCOMs against orders of the Commission on Tanir Bavi Power Company Ltd (TBPCL)
- As regards allowing the TBPCL claims towards 4 cents Fixed Cost per unit, the Commission has decided to allow the full Fixed Cost from FY08 onwards in accordance with the directions of the Hon'ble ATE. As regards allowing the differential amount between 4 cents and 2 cents per unit for FY07, the Commission would implement the orders of the Hon'ble ATE in Appeal No. 250 of 2006 which is pending before the Hon'ble ATE. Regarding allowing the arrears of the differential amount for the period from 2002 to 2006, as per the directions of the Hon'ble ATE, KPTCL was required to create a regulatory asset for the differential amount and propose recovery of the same through tariff by amortizing it over a period of 5 years or any other lesser period. KPTCL had allocated the arrears of the amounts already paid to TBPCL and proposed recovery of the same through retail tariff of ESCOMs over a period of next three years. However KPTCL did not create any regulatory asset but only created a recoverable head of account to recover the said amount from ESCOMs (in the name of Regulatory asset) and adjust the same to the head 'subsidy receivable from the GoK. The Commission has analysed the whole issue in the Tariff Order of KPTCL date 06.07.2007 and found that since the amounts are

already paid and since there is no resultant loss in the accounts of KPTCL, it could not create any regulatory asset. The contentions of KPTCL that the amount was indirectly met by GoK subsidy are not correct in view of the fact that the GoK has confirmed that it did not release any subsidy to meet the fixed cost of TBPCL not allowed by the Commission. The Commission has done a detailed truing up exercise for the period from 2001 to 2006 and found that the actual power purchase costs allowed which was Rs. 28622 Crores and that actually incurred by KPTCL/ESCOMs with 4 cents of fixed cost paid to TBPCL at Rs. 28300 Crores is more than the actuals and thereby the entire power purchase cost which includes TBPCL claims are already passed on to the consumers. Hence the Commission has not accepted the claims of KPTCL to pass on the arrears of TBPCL claims from 2002 to 2006 to the consumers.

- Truing up of past revenues & expenses for the previous years from 2001 to 2006, based on the audited accounts was carried out. This had not been done earlier though the Commission has been analyzing the approved and actual figures of the previous years, in the subsequent tariff orders. Truing up of the expenses revealed that full fixed charges in respect of TBPCL at 4 cents had already been collected from the consumers.
- The Transmission Tariff was determined on per MW basis instead of per unit basis, which was followed so far. This was also done as per the provisions of the MYT Regulations.

The approved Transmission Tariff for the three years of the first control period are indicated in the following Table:

Particulars	FY08	FY09	FY10
ARR for the period in Rs. Crs	723.73	666.96	695.52
Total peak Capacity (MW) of ESCOMs	7249	7726	8234
Transmission Tariff in Rs./MW/Month	83199	71939	70391

ESCOMs

All the ESCOMs furnished replies to the preliminary observations of the Commission on the ERC filing. The Commission observed that all the ESCOMs (except CESC) had filed the same ERCs without making any changes. The replies furnished were also not in compliance with the MYT Regulations. Rejoinders were issued by the Commission to the ESCOMs during March 2007 directing them to furnish replies within 10 days. ESCOMs sought extension of time by 15 days. The Commission granted time up to 15th April 2007 with the condition that any extra cost arising out of delay in furnishing replies in a complete manner shall be borne by the ESCOMs themselves and that the same will not be passed on to the consumers through tariff.

ESCOMs furnished replies to the rejoinder during third week of April 2007. However, they did not file any tariff application for bridging the gap in revenue. The Commission cleared the ERC of ESCOMs during April 2007 for publication of summary of ERC in the newspapers calling for objections by all stakeholders. The draft notices were cleared by the Commission before publication in the newspapers. ESCOMs arranged to publish the notification in the newspapers during 3rd week of May 2007.

Meanwhile, Commission addressed letters to the ESCOMs informing them that they have not filed tariff applications despite indicating a net gap even after considering the subsidy from GoK. It was also pointed out to them that the Regulations stipulate them to indicate measures for meeting the deficit and if tariff revision is contemplated, they have to file applications for revision of tariff along with ERC filing. Since the ESCOMs had failed to file tariff application despite indicating deficit in the ERCs, they were informed that if the validated ERCs indicates a revenue gap even after considering the GoK subsidy, the deficit will have to be met by

the ESCOMs themselves. However, if ESCOMs desired to file the tariff application before finalization of ERCs, they may do so within 15 days from the date of letter (7th May 2007). They were also informed that if there was a surplus in the validated ERC, the Commission would be constrained to take suo motu action to pass on the surplus to the consumers by reducing the tariff suitably. In response to the above letter, ESCOMs filed their tariff applications on 7th June 2007 proposing an increase of 90 paise per unit for all categories except IP sets and BJ/KJ installations. The same was cleared for publication on 8th June 2007. ESCOMs have published the notification on revision of tariff during the 2nd and 3rd week of June 2007.

2.8 Order on “Harnessing Captive power generation” in the State

As envisaged in the National Electricity Policy and the Tariff Policy, surplus capacity available in captive generation should be harnessed to meet the shortages. Therefore, there is a need to propose an appropriate commercial arrangement between the licensee and the captive generator for harnessing the spare capacity of CPPs. In this direction, KERC had brought out a discussion paper in April 2006 titled “Harnessing Captive power generation” duly inviting comments /suggestions from all stake holders by 31.05.2006. After receiving the comments/views, the Commission finalized the order on 27.02.2007.

The salient features of this order are as follows:

1. This order is applicable to Captive Power Plants with a capacity of above 1 MW.
2. Supply of power for durations of more than THREE years or more would be termed as long-term supplies for the purpose of this Order.
3. Supply of 700 units or more per hour per MW is defined as ‘Firm Power’. This is based on the normative load factor of 70%.

4. Any supply, which is less than 700 units per hour per MW capacity, is defined as 'Infirm Power'.
5. For Firm Power, the Commission fixes a floor rate of Rs. 2.28 per unit and a ceiling rate of Rs. 3.45 per unit. These rates are applicable for firm purchase for a period of less than 3-years.--
6. Firm Power purchase for long periods of THREE years or more shall be through competitive bids at a ceiling rate of Rs. 2.87 per unit. As such UI rate will not be applicable for long-term firm supplies.
7. For Infirm Power, the Commission fixes a floor rate of Rs. 2.05 per unit and a ceiling rate of Rs. 3.10 (90% of UI rates at intersecting frequency of 49.76 Hz and 49.50Hz respectively).
8. The UI Rates are as prescribed by CERC and are subject to revision by CERC. In case of revision, the revised rates shall be applicable.

CERC vide its order dated 6th June 2007 has revised the UI rates. However in the above order the rates for the bandwidth of frequency considered by the Commission for determining floor and ceiling prices of CPPs remain unaltered.

2.9. Action plan for implementation of Intra-State ABT

In view of the substantial improvement in the grid discipline noticed after the implementation of the Inter state ABT and as envisaged in the National Electricity Policy, the Commission proposed implementation of intra-state ABT in the State. In this regard, the Commission issued a paper titled "Action plan for implementation of Intra-State ABT" during December 2005 inviting comments and suggestions from the stakeholders and experts. After considering the suggestions and responses from various stakeholders /experts the Commission issued the Order on 20th June 2006. As per the data available, Karnataka is the first state to implement the Intra-state ABT.

The Commission approved implementation of the intra-state ABT in a phased manner as follows:

Phase 1:

By the end of June 2006

- Providing ABT compliant meters at all interface points including generating stations.
- Impart necessary training.

By the end of September 2006

- Establishing suitable communication network for recording data on real time basis with Corporate Office of ESCOMs/SLDC.

By the end of December 2006

- Setting up of ALDC in each ESCOM/Hukeri Society and up gradation of SLDC

October 2006 to March 2007

- Proxy Implementation. Generators and Licensees to comply with ABT based availability and drawal schedules for half an hour.
- RLDC / SLDC/ALDC to co-ordinate on real time data management.
- Generators and Licensees need not pay as per ABT billing.
- ABT based daily accounting and billing for half an hour.

Phase 2:

April 2007 to September 2007

- Generators / Licensees to pay ABT charges on half an hourly basis.

Phase 3:

October 2007 onwards

- Final Implementation of Intra- State ABT.

The Commission has been conducting review meetings with KPCTL/ESCOMs to review the progress achieved against the Schedule. KPCTL has taken up implementation of SCADA and has started the mock exercise on implementation of Intra-state ABT. KPCTL has also agreed to take up the activity on routine basis at 220 KV level from April 2007.

2.10 Promotion of waste-to-Energy Projects in the State:

Section 86(1)(e) of the Electricity Act, 2003 mandates the Commission to promote cogeneration and generation of electricity from renewable sources of energy by providing suitable measures for connectivity with the grid and sale of electricity to any person, and also specify, for purchase of electricity from such sources, a percentage of the total consumption of electricity in the area of a distribution licensee. Section 5.10.5 of the National Electricity Policy envisages setting up of Solid Waste to Energy Projects in urban areas and recovery of energy from Industrial effluents with a view to reduce environmental pollution apart from generating additional energy.

The Commission has issued KERC (Power Procurement from Renewable Sources by Distribution Licensee) Regulations, 2004 on 27.09.2004 specifying the quantum of purchase from renewable sources and laying down the procedure for determination of tariff from such sources. In the said regulations, the renewable sources include power generation from urban/municipal waste. Subsequently, the Commission has determined the tariff in respect of mini-hydel, wind, biomass and co-generation projects vide order dated 18th January 2005. However, Waste-to-Energy (WtE) projects were not considered while fixing tariff for biomass projects. In order to promote WtE projects, approach to tariff of such projects needed to be specified by the Commission.

In pursuance of the above requirement, the Commission issued & widely circulated a discussion paper in May 2006 titled 'Promotion of Waste-to-Energy Projects' inviting suggestions and comments from stakeholders and experts. After considering the views of the stakeholders, the Commission issued an Order on " Approach to Determination of Tariff for

Waste to Energy Projects using Municipal Solid Wastes supplied by Urban Local Bodies" on 21st July 2006.

2.11 Approval of Power Purchase Agreements

2.11.1 PPAs of Non-conventional power projects:

During FY07, the Commission approved 101 PPAs pertaining to different non-conventional energy projects as detailed below:

ESCOM	Number of PPAs approved	Capacity in MW	Number of PPAs approved	Capacity in MW	Number of PPAs approved	Capacity in MW	Number of PPAs approved	Capacity in MW	Number of PPAs approved	Capacity in MW
	Windmill		Biomass		Mini-Hydel		Co-Generation		Total	
BESCOM	50	146.40	-	-	1	24.75	-	-	51	171.15
MESCOM	19	71.40	-	-	7	73.00	-	-	26	144.40
CESC	-	-	-	-	3	16.75	-	-	3	16.75
HESCOM	12	43.75	-	-	1	10.00	5	125.00	18	178.75
GESCOM	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	51.50	3	51.50
Total	81	261.55	-	-	12	124.50	8	176.50	101	562.55

Details of PPAs received and approved by the Commission during the year are furnished in **Annex 2.1**.

3.0 REVIEW OF LICENSEE'S OPERATIONS

The Commission has conducted review meetings with ESCOMs as follows during FY07:

Name of ESCOM	Date of Review Meeting
BESCOM	2 nd February 2007
MESCOM	26 th February 2007 at Mangalore

The Agenda discussed in the review meetings with the ESCOMs generally were as follows:

1. Power supply position
2. Energy Auditing & Loss reduction
3. Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) of HT installations
4. Commercial Loss reduction and Vigilance activities
5. Revenue collection and Arrears position
6. MIS
7. Accidents and Remedial measures
8. Assessment of IP set consumption
9. Distribution transformer failures
10. Intra-state ABT

Minutes of the meetings were drawn and followed up by the Commission with the ESCOMs for compliance.

3.1 Reporting Formats for Performance Evaluation and Benchmarking

In order to establish baseline values in respect of some important parameters to assess and evaluate the performance of the ESCOMs, the Commission had finalized Monthly and Quarterly Reporting Formats in

consultation with the ESCOMs. The data as per the format is being furnished by the ESCOMs monthly/quarterly.

Status of data received from the ESCOMs is furnished in the following Table:

As on 15.07.2007

Name of ESCOM	Monthly Format	Quarterly Format
BESCOM	Furnished up to Feb 07	Furnished up to IV Quarter of FY 07
MESCOM	Furnished up to Feb 07	Furnished up to IV Quarter of FY 07
HESCOM	Furnished up to Mar 07	Furnished up to IV Quarter of FY 07
GESCOM	Furnished up to Jan 07	Furnished up to III Quarter of FY 07
CESC	Furnished up to Mar 07	Furnished up to III Quarter of FY 07

The reports are reviewed and comments are being sent to ESCOMs regularly by the Commission. These reports are also discussed in the periodical review meetings with the ESCOMs.

3.2 Computerization and MIS in KPTCL/ESCOMs

The Commission is closely following up the Computerization and MIS programme of KPTCL and ESCOMs. The status of computerization and MIS in KPTCL & various ESCOMs, as furnished by ESCOMs in their MYT ERCs, is as follows:

a) KPTCL:

KPTCL has installed MS Exchange Project as the software for project management. KPTCL has initiated action to develop necessary software for consolidation of various reports received from all divisions under MS Exchange project.

b) BESCOM

- ▶ Billing activity has been computerized and spot bills are being issued by BESCOM.
- ▶ The Facility of Any Time Payment (ATP) kiosk, which was introduced in BMAZ area last year, has been extended to Davanagere, Tumkur, Kolar & Chikkaballapur area.
- ▶ As a consumer friendly measure, BESCOM has participated in Bangalore One, Easy Bill, Bill Junction, Bill desk etc. This would facilitate hassle free payment of power bills by the consumers. Further, Consumers can register their complaints through BESCOM website www.bescom.org or through IVRS or through MMS.
- ▶ Energy audit system put has been in place to monitor losses at feeder and transformer level.
- ▶ BESCOM has also initiated action to introduce GIS in BMAZ area.

c) MESCOM

- ▶ Out of 35 sub-divisions, B & C has been completed in 15 sub-divisions. Rest of the sub-divisions will have computerized billing in FY08.
- ▶ MESCOM has centralized HT billing and bills are being prepared & issued by Corporate Office.
- ▶ MESCOM has initiated action to develop packages for Project management and Finance and accounting software.

MESCOM has launched its website www.mesco.in in January 2006. Further, e-tendering has been introduced from February 2006.

d) CESC

CESC has outsourced the work on bill generation for all divisions except in Chamarajnagar and Kollegala. CESC has taken up Computerization in 11 divisions out of 14 divisions.

e) HESCOM

- ▶ HESCOM has completed computerization of its billing activity in all its sub divisions and has introduced spot billing. ATP kiosk has been installed at Tabibland in Hubli for bill payment on trial basis. 12 more ATPs are planned in other divisions. Further, Consumer indexing work has been taken up in Dharwad.
- ▶ HESCOM has its website www.hescom.co.in since June 2004 and has put in place E tendering from September 05.
- ▶ Project Management system is being utilized for monitoring 33 kV works.
- ▶ HESCOM proposes to have an on-line cash management system.

f) GESCOM

- ▶ Billing activity has been computerized in all the sub-divisions of GESCOM with centralized HT billing.
- ▶ Certain modifications to Transformer management system installed earlier on trial basis is being carried out by GESCOM.
- ▶ Inventory management system, Audit software, Legal case monitoring system, Document Management system & Inspection software have been introduced at division level and working satisfactorily.
- ▶ GESCOM has introduced IVRS in Gulbarga city with telephone no. 1912.
- ▶ ATP has been working effectively in 5 places at Gulbarga, Bidar, Raichur & Bellary.
- ▶ Video-conferencing facility is in operation at corporate office, Zonal office, Circle office and in 3-divisions.

- ▶ GESCOM has hosted its website www.gescom.org and E - tendering has been introduced in GESCOM.

The Commission notes the initiatives taken by the ESCOMs in computerization and MIS. The ESCOMs should complete introduction of Billing & Collection packages in all its sub-divisions at the earliest. In order to realize the benefits of computerization and MIS, all the other packages have to be completed within a specified time schedule. The Commission would monitor the progress in this regard continuously.

3.3 Interface Metering:

KPTCL has reported that all interface points are provided with ABT compliant meters to enable implementation of Intra-State ABT. The Commission reviewed the status of these interface metering during the review meetings on implementation of the proposed Intra-State ABT.

As per the data furnished by KPTCL, the number of interface points has increased by 129 Nos. during the year 2006-07. Out of these additional interface points, 105 Nos are at 11 KV level. The following table provides the details of number of interface points between KPTCL and ESCOMs as at the end of March 07:

Metering Point	Bank IF		EHT			IPP		Total
	11 KV	33 KV	66 KV	110 KV	220 KV	11 KV	33 KV	
ESCOM	11	33	66	110	220	11	33	
BESCOM	519	5	36	0	6	5	0	571
MESCOM	91	24	0	6	1	2	2	126
HESCOM	174	105	1	2	3	1	11	297
GESCOM	125	50	3	10	4	4	12	208
CESC	193	9	17	0	0	10	0	229
Hukkeri	6	3	0	0	0	0	1	10
Total	1108	196	57	18	14	22	26	1441

Details of faulty Interface points are as follows (At the end of March 2007)

Metering Point	Bank IF		EHT			IPP		Total
	11 KV	33 KV	66 KV	110 KV	220 KV	11 KV	33 KV	
ESCOM								
BESCOM	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
MESCOM	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
HESCOM	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
GESCOM	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	3
CESC	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Hukkeri	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	13	1	0	1	0	1	0	16

3.4 Review of Energy Audit and Losses

In Tariff Order 2006 the Commission had allowed T&D losses at 25% for FY07 as against 26% allowed in the previous year. Commission has been constantly emphasizing on reduction of losses in the system by KPTCL/ ESCOMs.

The actual T&D loss for FY06 is reported to be 29.40%. The transmission loss reported for FY 06 is 4.33% as against an approved transmission loss of 4.18%. Further, as per the ERC filed by KPTCL for FY08-10 under MYT framework, the transmission loss is estimated to remain at the same level of 4.18% in FY08. The Commission, in its Tariff Order-2006 of KPTCL, has determined transmission losses at 4.06% for FY07. KPTCL has reported a transmission loss of 4.59% for FY07, which means that the transmission loss during FY07 has increased by 0.26% as compared to the loss levels in FY06.

The status of approved and actual Transmission losses of KPTCL for FY06 and FY07 and the transmission losses as estimated for FY08-10 is as follows:

Particulars	FY 06	FY 07	FY 08	FY09	FY 10
As per ERC filling of KPTCL	4.87	4.18	4.18	4.06	4.00
As approved by KERC	4.18	4.06	4.06	4.03	4.00
Actual Transmission Loss	4.33	4.59	-	-	-

The status of ESCOM wise Distribution losses is as follows,

Distribution Loss Level- FY07				
ESCOM	FY 05 as per annual accounts	FY 06 as per annual accounts	FY 07 as per Monthly Reports	FY 07 as per KERC *
BESCOM	24.63	24.20	23.86	20.50
MESCOM	16.67	15.51	15.15	15.00
CESC	25.92	27.03	25.88@	22.00
HESCOM	27.50	28.18	27.80	25.00
GESCOM	37.03	39.10	29.70	27.05

* Losses including EHT sales.

@ Excluding EHT sales

The Commission is monitoring regularly on monthly basis the distribution losses in 53 towns and cities having population of more than 50,000, which has comparatively higher energy intensity, since the last five years. The losses reported in these Towns & Cities of all ESCOMs are indicated as under:

ESCOM	FY06		FY07	
	No. of towns	No of towns where losses are less than 15%	No. of towns	No of towns where losses are less than 15%
BESCOM	15	9	15	9
MESCOM	6	5	6	6
CESC	5	4	5	5
HESCOM	16	10	16	8
GESCOM	11	Nil	11	Nil

The range of losses in these towns and cities are indicated as below:

Range of Percentage Loss recorded in Towns and Cities during FY07							
ESCOM	<5%	5 to 10%	10 to 15%	15 to 20%	20 to 25%	>25%	Total No of Town/Cities Audited
BESCOM	0	1	8	6	0	0	15
MESCOM	0	3	3	0	0	0	6
CESC	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
HESCOM	0	1	7	5	3	0	16
GESCOM	0	0	0	2	3	6	11
TOTAL	0	5	23	13	6	6	53

Losses in respect of all towns/cities in GESCOM are more than 15% and this trend is continuing. In BESCOM, 6 out of the 15 towns/cities monitored

have more than 15% loss. In HESCOM, 8 out of 16 towns/cities were having more than 15% loss. In respect of MESCOM & CESC all the towns show a loss of less than 15%.

From the monthly reports received from the ESCOMs, the Commission has observed that the reported losses shown are very inconsistent. The Commission has pointed out such inconsistencies time and again during the review meetings with ESCOMs. The Commission is very much concerned about bringing down the loss levels in order to improve metered sales and consequently to increase the revenues of ESCOMs. In view of this, the ESCOMs have to take up this task of reduction of distribution losses more seriously and bring down distribution losses of ESCOMs to the desired levels. The Commission's directive to reduce the distribution losses to a maximum of 15% in each of these towns is yet to be complied with by the BESCOM/HESCOM & GESCOM.

3.5 Review of Electrical Accidents

1. The Commission while reviewing the electrical accidents occurred during FY07 in KPTCL, BESCOM, CESC, MESCOM, HESCOM and GESCOM has observed that the total number of accidents in the state **has decreased by 14.40 %** as compared to FY06.

Maximum number of accidents (256 nos.) have occurred in GESCOM and **minimum** number of accidents (152 nos.) have occurred in CESC. The Commission has repeatedly directed ESCOMs to prepare time bound "action plans" to carry out remedial measures to bring down the accidents to a minimum. Though GESCOM is carrying out the works as per "action plan," the number of accidents is highest in GESCOM.

2. The comparison of ESCOM wise details of accidents which have occurred during FY06 and FY07 is as follows:

COMPANY	Year	Fatal	Non-fatal	Fatal Animal	Total	Solatium paid in Rs. Lakhs
KPTCL	FY 06	6	24	1	31	0
	FY 07	6	32	1	39	3.74
BESCOM	FY 06	130	64	79	273	82.49
	FY 07	110	84	49	243	52.07
MESCOM	FY 06	53	71	80	204	46.42
	FY 07	42	75	53	170	11.90
HESCOM	FY 06	88	82	110	280	46.67
	FY 07	83	64	98	245	21.30
GESCOM	FY 06	80	61	210	351	34.79
	FY 07	68	57	131	256	52.84
CESC	FY 06	59	41	53	153	23.32
	FY 07	55	31	66	152	31.15
HUKKERI RECS	FY 06	0	5	1	6	0.04
	FY 07	1	2	3	6	3.67
TOTAL	FY 06	416	348	534	1298	233.83
	FY 07	365	345	401	1111	176.67

3.6 IP sets' consumption:

1. The Commission has estimated the IP consumption for FY07 as 10497 MU (without losses) based on the monthly reports furnished by ESCOMs and considering LT line loss as 10% for BESCOM, 7.5% for CESC & MESCOM and 8% for HESCOM & GESCOM.
2. The specific consumption and the total IP sets' consumption as per monthly reports of the five ESCOMs for FY06 and FY07 are shown in the tables below: -

FY06

Sl.No	ESCOMs	Specific Consumption in kwh/ip/year as per monthly reports	Total consumption of IP sets in M.U. as per monthly reports (excluding LT line loss)
1	BESCOM	5823	2885
2	MESCOM	3616	599
3	HESCOM	6668	2643
4	GESCOM	7398	1521
5	CESC	5109	888
	Total		8536

FY07

Sl. No.	ESCOMs	Specific Consumption in kwh/IP/Year as per monthly reports	Total consumption of IP sets in M.U. as per monthly reports (excluding LT line loss)
1	BESCOM	7839	3977
2	MESCOM	4336	753
3	HESCOM	7281	2996
4	GESCOM	7927	1664
5	CESC	6271	1107
	Total		10497

3. Observations by the Commission:

There is an **increase of 23%** in the total consumption of IP sets in the State during FY07 compared to FY06 as per monthly reports.

3.7 Study on IP sets' consumption:

The Commission had instituted a study by M/s TERI on IP sets' consumption based on 176 DTC metered sampling points in the State of Karnataka, on contract basis and the study has since been completed. M/S TERI has carried out the study for 12 months commencing from March 06 to February 07. At the end of the study, M/S TERI has furnished report containing the ESCOM wise Specific Consumption and Distribution Loss of LT lines and the Commission is reviewing the same.

3.8 Universal metering programme of GOK

Section 55 of the Electricity Act 2003 specifies that no licensee shall supply electricity after the expiry of two years from the appointed date (10.06.2003) except through installation of a correct meter. Therefore, universal metering has become a mandate under the Act. ESCOMs have already undertaken universal metering programme from 2002 itself as per the policy directive of the Government. The progress of ESCOMs under universal metering programme as on 31.03.2007 is shown below: -

METERING OF IP SETS, BJ/KJ AND STREET LIGHT INSTALLATIONS AS ON 31-3-2007 IN ESCOMs

Sl. No.	ESCOM	Total no. of IP installations existing as on 31-3-2007	Total no. of IP installations for which meters are fixed as on 31-3-2007	Total no. of BJ/KJ installations existing as on 31-3-2007	Total no. of BJ/KJ installations for which meters are fixed as on 31-3-2007	Total no. of Street Light installations existing as on 31-3-2007	Total no. of Street Light installations for which meters are fixed as on 31-3-2007
1	BESCOM	514141	45613 (8.87%)	447553	260209 (58.14%)	32313	25013 (77.40%)
2	GESCOM	207694	21105 (10.16%)	428555	256868 (59.93%)	7601	7497 (98.63%)
3	HESCOM	419436	168327 (40.13%)	528943	457485 (86.49%)	13361	13361 (100%)
4	MESCOM	178155	160445 (90.05%)	121406	66248 (54.56%)	13073	11483 (87.83%)
5	CESC	190446	31737 (16.66%)	225860	159886 (70.78%)	13659	11723 (85.82%)
6	HUKKERI RECS	17010	5138 (30.20%)	1106	1106 (100%)	220	220 (100%)
	TOTAL	1526882	432365 (28.31%)	1753423	1201802 (68.54%)	80227	69297 (86.37%)

The Commission has requested the ESCOMs to come with concrete proposals to complete the universal metering programme in a definite timeframe as mandated in the Act.

3.9 Transformer failures:

As per the details furnished by the ESCOMs the transformer failures rate from FY03 to FY07 in ESCOMs is as follows: -

Sl. No.	ESCOM	FY03	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY07
1	BESCOM	14.79%	12.98%	13.27%	12.76%	9.39%
2	MESCOM	18.32%	17.81%	15.82%	15.42%	11.51%
3	HESCOM	16.99%	14.94%	15.12%	14.38%	13.30%
4	GESCOM	NA	16.37%	21.07%	18.86%	14.29%
5	CESC	-	-	22.45%	23.94%	16.62%
						End of III Quarter
						End of III Quarter

It is observed that ESCOMs have been able to reduce transformer failure rates over the years and there is consistent decrease in the percentage failure rate of distribution transformers in case of MESCOM. The

Commission appreciates the efforts of the ESCOMs and hopes that the failure rates would be further reduced in order to improve the quality and reliability of power to consumers.

3.10 Review of DCB of the Licensees

ESCOMs are furnishing monthly DCB statements to the Commission. The DCBs are being taken up for discussion in the review meetings with the ESCOMs. An abstract of DCB of KEB/KPTCL for the period from FY97 to FY02 and that of the ESCOMs for the period from FY03 to FY07 is furnished below:

Year	Revenue Demand (Rs. crs)	Collection (Rs. crs)	Collection as % of current year's demand
FY97	2314.06	1960.06	84.70
FY98	2788.87	2383.88	85.48
FY99	3201.02	2876.16	89.85
FY00	3480.83	3638.17	104.52
FY01	3790.64	4244.48	111.97
FY02	4529.31	4145.64	91.53
FY03	5257.15	5078.72	96.60
FY04	6031.90	5950.90	98.66
FY05	6751.67	6317.71	93.57
FY06	7576.83	6539.93	86.31
FY07*	8325.59	7760.29	93.21

* As per provisional DCB statements furnished by the ESCOMs

The Commission notes that the collection efficiency during FY07 has improved over the FY06 figures.

The ESCOM wise collection efficiency in FY07 including adjustments is as follows:

ESCOM	Revenue Demand	Collection	Collection as % of current year's demand
BESCOM	4853.71	4654.96	95.91
MESCOM	742.16	752.74	101.43
CESC	786.71	676.78	86.03
HESCOM	1124.32	977.86	86.97
GESCOM	813.01	724.79	89.15
Total	8319.91	7787.13	93.60

ESCOM wise arrears outstanding as at the end of is given below:

ESCOM	Revenue outstanding in terms of months in FY06	Revenue outstanding in terms of months in FY07
BESCOM	4.31	4.27
MESCOM	5.17	3.43
CESC	6.98	8.66
HESCOM	7.87	9.10
GESCOM	9.65	10.37

The outstanding balances to be recovered from the consumers have increased substantially in FY07 as compared to the previous year except in BESCOM & MESCOM. The Commission has been repeatedly advising the ESCOMs to improve their billing and collection efficiency in order to have better cash management to discharge their liabilities effectively.

3.11 Petitions and Review Petitions disposed of

A summary of petitions and Review Petitions filed, disposed of by the Commission and pendency up to March 2007 is given below.

Sl.No.	Nature of Petitions/Review Petitions	Opening Balance	Number filed during the year	Disposed	Pending
1	Petitions regarding back billing, disconnections, repayment of excess security deposits etc.	28	40	29	39
2	Review petitions	1	-	-	1
	Total	29	40	29	40

Details of petitions/Review Petitions received during the year are shown in **Annex - 3.1** Details of High Court cases where the KERC is a party are shown in **Annex - 3.2**.

3.12 Appeals against the Commission's orders on PPAs

1. PPA between KPTCL and KPCL/VVNL

As indicated in the previous Annual Report, KPCL has appealed to the Hon'ble High Court against the orders passed by the Commission on the approval of PPA between KPTCL and KPCL in respect of (i) RTPS units 1 to

7 (ii) hydro stations and (iii) Almatti Power House project. Similarly, VVNL has appealed to the Hon'ble High Court on the order issued by the Commission in the matter of PPA between KPTCL and VVNL for Yelahanka Diesel Plant. The matter is pending in the Hon'ble High Court.

2. PPA between KPTCL and Jindal Thermal Power Co. Ltd.

The Commission had passed its Order on this matter on 22.05.2002. JTPCL had challenged the Order of the Commission in the Hon'ble High Court vide MFA No.4795 of 2002. The Hon'ble High Court has pronounced the judgment on 08.04.2004 setting aside the impugned order of the Commission. The Commission has filed a Special Leave Petition before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and the matter is pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

4.0 ADVISORY COMMITTEE

4.1 Members of the Advisory Committee

During the year, the following Members of the Advisory Committee retired from the Committee in accordance with Section 3(5) of the KERC (State Advisory Committee) Regulations, 2004, on completion of a term of three years as members.

Sl.No.	Name of the Retired Members
1	Managing Director Bhoruka Power Corporation Ltd.
2	Sri. Amaranath Patil
3	Sri Prakash Kashinatha Rao Patil
4	S. Raghavendra Raju, Bangalore

The Commission appointed the following persons as Members of Advisory Committee in place of the retired Members under the provisions of section 87(1) of Electricity Act 2003, read with regulation 3 of KERC (State Advisory Committee) Regulations, 2004,

Sl. No	Name of the newly appointed Members	Field of Representation
1	Managing Director Bhoruka Power Corporation Ltd.	Generating Company
2	S. Raghavendra Raju, Bangalore	Consumer/Agriculturist
3	G.M. Pastapur, Gulbarga	Consumer
4	Chief Engineer (EI) South Western Railway Hubli	Transportation

Two posts of the Member are presently vacant. List of present Members of the Advisory Committee is placed in **Annex- 3.3**

4.2 Brief Account of the Meetings

4.2.1 During the year, three meetings of the Advisory Committee were held. In the 15th meeting held on 4th April 2006, the following are some of the important subjects which were discussed

- ERC and Tariff application of KPTCL for FY07
- Payment of Fixed Charges to TBPCL

4.2.2 In the 16th meeting held on 21st July 2006, the following are some of the important subjects which were discussed:

- National Tariff Policy issued by Gol
- Regulations on MYT issued by KERC
- Collection of 3 MMD by ESCOMs

4.2.3 In the 17th meeting held on 19th December 2006, the following are some of the important subjects which were discussed:

- Providing meters to consumer installations as per CEA Regulations
- Energy auditing of DTCs in ESCOMs
- Universal metering
- Load Shedding by ESCOMs
- Independent operation of ESCOMs
- Compliance to Directives of the Commission by KPTCL/ESCOMs

5. GENERAL REVIEW OF THE POWER SECTOR

5.1 Status of Karnataka Power Sector

5.1.1 Installed Capacity

As on 01.04.2007, the State had an installed capacity including central share as follows:

Installed capacity & Share of Karnataka

(MW)

	Hydro	Thermal	Others	Total
KPCL & VVNL	3376	1598	-	4974
Private Generating Companies	-	327	-	327
Cogeneration & Renewables*	-	-	941	941
State Total	3376	1925	941	6242
Central Projects Share**	-	1517	-	1517
Total	3376	3442	941	7759

Source: Daily Load data sheets of KPTCL

* As per GoK allocation dated 22.04.06

** As per SRPC order No. SRPC/SE-1/54/UA/2007 dt. 24.01.2007

5.1.2 Energy Availability:

The actual energy input from the above sources in FY07 is 40330 MU (Daily Load data sheets of KPTCL) as against energy input of 34367 MU in FY06 indicating a growth of 17% over FY06. The Commission had approved 35538 MU as the energy requirement for FY07 at Generator bus in its tariff Order 2006 of ESCOMs as against the ESCOMs proposal of 38653 MU.

The approved energy input to ESCOMs at the interface point and the details of actual/revised estimate of energy input at interface points for FY07 as furnished in the ERCs for FY08-FY10 is as follows:

ESCOM	Approved-Energy (MU)	Actual/revised estimate- Energy (MU)
BESCOM:	16222	18395
MESCOM:	2606	2958
CESC:	3477	4189
HESCOM:	6594	7513
GESCOM:	4237	5200
Total:	33136	38255

6.0 ACTIVITIES OF THE OFFICE OF CONSUMER ADVOCACY OF KERC

The Office of Consumer Advocacy (OCA) in the KERC was established in September 2001. In the last Six years the OCA has undertaken several activities to empower, inform and educate the public in general and the civil society organizations in particular, about the developments in the electricity sector. It is acting as a catalyst to make the consumers participate in the power sector reform process and at the same time act as a countervailing force both on the Licensees and the Regulatory Commission.

The OCA believes that the benefits of the reforms and restructuring of the power sector will reach the consumers only when there is effective public participation. Keeping this in view, the OCA has been conducting various activities and events in the last five years.

6.1 Consumer Information

Since its inception the OCA has laid emphasis on disseminating information to the public. Informing the consumers about the latest development in the power sector, the various laws, rules and regulations framed under the relevant Acts has been one of the functions of the OCA. The OCA has maintained a Directory of civil society organizations, which is being updated regularly. The number of organizations/persons has increased to 300. The OCA continues to send important documents, draft regulations, news clippings and other materials to these organizations/persons.

The OCA, for the past five years, has been publishing two newsletters namely Consumer Power in English and Vidyut Balakedara in Kannada. The OCA decided to bring in certain changes in the contents, layout,

design etc. of the newsletter. Hence all issues of the newsletters during 2006-07 could not be printed. The two newsletters have been clubbed and a single magazine with more pages and news items is being published as a quarterly. The first issue for the period January-March 2007 is ready for dispatch.

We are happy to note that our newsletters have encouraged the Assam Electricity Regulatory Commission and the Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission (TNERC) to publish similar newsletters. Apart from newsletters the OCA is also bringing out leaflets and Consumer Information Sheets on various topics of interest to electricity consumers.

During this year the OCA has published the Kannada version of Know Your Power (published by Prayas, Pune). This is a comprehensive guide on electricity written in a simple language keeping the common man in view. The OCA has also prepared the Electricity Consumer Information Kit, which, will be published and distributed in June-July 2007.

6.2 Consumer Grievance Handling

Despite the fact the Licensees have established their own Consumer Grievance Redressal Forums as required under the Electricity Act 2003 and the Regulations made there under by the KERC, the OCA has been facilitating redressal of consumer grievances. The OCA wishes to keep on record the cooperation of the Licensees in attending to the grievances of consumers forwarded by the OCA.

Upto 31st March 2007, the OCA has received 1043 complaints out of which 891 have been solved to the satisfaction of the consumers. Action is being taken to dispose off the remaining 152 complaints. The details of the complaints handled by the OCA is given below:

YEARWISE receipt and disposal of complaints

Year	Complaints Received	Complaints Disposed	Balance
1999-2000	95	95	0
2000-2001	133	133	0
2001-2002	132	132	0
2002-2003	248	248	0
2003-2004	188	188	0
2004-2005	125	51	74
2005-2006	90	14	76
2006-2007	32	30	2
TOTAL	1043	891	152

ESCOMWISE receipt and disposal of complaints

ESCOM	Complaints Received	Complaints Disposed	Balance
BESCOM	409	362	47
MESCOM	306	280	26
HESCOM	172	134	38
GESCOM	143	110	33
CESC	13	5	8
TOTAL	1043	891	152

Nature of complaints

No.	Nature of complaint	No. of complaints
1	Allegation against staff	32
2	Billing	196
3	Accident compensation	53
4	Deposits	87
5	Levy of infrastructure charges	22
6	Irrigation pump set	14
7	Additional Load	10
8	Meter related disputes	31
9	Delay in giving power connection sanction	235
10	Shifting of lines & meters	37
11	Tariff issues	41
12	Tender issues	6
13	Complaint against theft	14
14	Transformer complaints	23
15	Voltage related issues	79
16	Suggestions/Miscellaneous etc.	163
	Total	1043

6.3 Review of Standards of Performance

The KERC has issued Regulations as required under the Electricity Act 2003 regarding the Standards of Performance to be achieved by the Licensees. The OCA is obtaining quarterly reports of Standards of Performance and monitoring its compliance. A consolidated report of the performance of the five Licensees for the period January-December 2006 is under preparation.

6.4 Public Outreach Meetings

With the objective of informing and educating the public about the activities of KERC, OCA and also to disseminate the various regulations issued by the KERC, the OCA has been holding Public Outreach Meetings in various parts of the State. In some of the places the OCA is conducting these meetings with the help of the member organizations of the Electricity Consumers Network (ECON), Chambers of Commerce, Farmers Associations, Resident Welfare Associations and other consumer groups. The OCA is also making use of other meetings to highlight the activities of KERC and OCA. During the year 2006-07 public meetings were held at Maddur and Mandya.

Public outreach meetings can be held in other parts of the State if local civil society organizations come forward to organize these events.

6.5 Consumer Representation

The OCA has been supporting civil society organizations by way of technical inputs and documentation to enable them to appear before the Commission on issues of interest to consumers. Besides, the Consultant (Consumer Advocacy) is appearing before the Commission in public hearings wherever consumer interest is involved.

The OCA filed its objections to the ERC of ESCOMS and argued on behalf of the consumers before the KERC. The views of the OCA and other consumers were considered by the KERC and a favourable order was passed. However this was challenged by the ESCOMS in the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity.

The OCA filed a petition before the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity, New Delhi, in respect of the Tariff Order being questioned by the ESCOMS. The OCA along with a few consumer groups and farmers association is arguing in support of the Tariff Order passed by the KERC

6.6 Seminars and Workshops

The OCA is being invited by reputed institutions for several seminars and workshops related to power sector. A national workshop on Regulatory Process was organized by TERI in Delhi during November 2006. The OCA was invited to make a presentation on the KERC Model of Consumer Advocacy. The efforts of KERC were hailed by the participants from all over India. Prominent consumer groups like Consumer Education and Research Society, Ahmedabad, VOICE from Delhi and Prayas from Pune participated in the workshop.

OCA was also invited by institutions like Power Systems Training Institute, National Hotline Training Institute, Power Grid Corporation of India etc. to make presentations on Electricity Act 2003 with special reference to consumer protection.

Future plans

The OCA is in the process of strengthening civil society organizations to enable them to participate in the regulatory process. It is proposed to

form District level electricity users' committees with representation to all stakeholders including the ESCOMS. A pilot project is under preparation.

OCA in coordination with the Chief Electrical Inspector to Government (CEIG) is planning to hold training programs on Electricity Safety for school students. The Consumer Clubs established by consumer organizations would be used for this purpose. Workshops and capacity building programs are planned during 2007.

7.0 ACTIVITIES OF OMBUDSMAN:

It has been the persistent endeavour of the Karnataka Electricity Regulatory Commission to have a strong and firm mechanism in place for the redressal of grievances of the Consumers. KERC has issued Regulations, namely, KERC (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum and Ombudsman) Regulations 2004 on 12.05.2004 specifying the establishment, functions and powers of the CGRF and Ombudsman.

The Commission has also designated an Ombudsman as required under Sub-Section 6 of Section 42 of the Electricity Act 2003. The Ombudsman is an Appellate Authority to entertain appeals from Consumers who are aggrieved by the orders passed by the Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum. During the year 2006-07, the Ombudsman has received 14 complaints against the orders passed by various Consumer Grievance Redressal Fora. Out of this, 12 cases have been disposed off during the year. There has been a significant increase in the number of appeals filed before the Ombudsman, particularly from far off places like Ilkal, Muddebihal, Haveri, Shimoga, Shahpur, Bagalkot, Bijapur etc. This indicates that the concept of consumer grievance redressal mechanism is gradually percolating down the line. The Office of the Consumer Advocacy established by the Commission has also been instrumental to inculcate awareness among the Consumers about the existence of Redressal Fora and Ombudsman. The redressal sought by the Consumers from the Ombudsman is of the following nature:

1. Appeal against levy of excess charges for servicing the installations.
2. Request for payment of interest on excess amount collected by the Licensee.
3. Request for payment of incentives and interest on differential tariff.
4. Seeking compensation in view of application of wrong Regulations by the Licensee.
5. Exemption from levy of interest and to make payment in installments.
6. Temporary connection for bonafide temporary use of electricity.
7. Refund of Security Deposit with interest & compensation.

8. Appeal against issue of revised bill after lapse of a long duration of time.
9. Cancellation of line minimum charges.

The Commission had convened a meeting of the Chairpersons and Members of all CGRF along with Ombudsman on 07.06.2006 to review the response of the Licensees with regard to implementation of the orders passed by the CGRF and the Ombudsman. It was observed that the response of the Licensees to implement the orders has been satisfactory.

Establishment of Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum (CGRF) by Distribution Licensee

As required under Section 42(5) of the Electricity Act 2003, all the Distribution Licensees have established Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum in their areas. The Hukkeri Rural Electric Co-operative Society Ltd., Hukkeri has been attached to the CGRF, HESCOM, as this Society has a very small area of distribution. The cases dealt by the various CGRF during the year 2006-07 are as follows:

Name of the Forum	Complaints brought forward	Complaints registered during 06-07	Complaints disposed off during 06-07	Balance as on 31.03.07
CGRF, BESCOM	05	52	48	09
CGRF, MESCOM	NIL	4	4	NIL
CGRF, HESCOM	09	14	23	NIL
CGRF, GESCOM	07	31	28	10
CGRF, CESCO	NIL	08	06	02

8. ADMINISTRATION

1. STAFF PATTERN:

The workforce consists of permanent employees besides officials on deputation and contract basis. The total working strength of the Commission as on date is 42. At present, the Commission consists of 2 permanent employees consequent to absorption of services, 10 officials on deputation basis, 27 Officials on contract basis, and 3 Consultants.

The details are as follows:

PERMANENT EMPLOYEES:

Sl.No.	Name	Designation
01	PR Gopinath	PA to Dy. Director (Legal)
02	KMC Mallikarjuna	Assistant

OFFICERS/ OFFICIALS ON DEPUTATION BASIS:

Sl.No.	Name	Designation
01	G.S.Shashidhar	Director (Tariff) [since expired]
02	B.R.Manjunath	Dy. Director (Demand Forecast)
03	K.S.Satishchanda	Dy. Director (Generation)
04	H.S.Sheshadri	TA to Chairman
05	K.Ramesha	Accounts Officer
06	M.Ramu	PS to Member (Tech.)
07	ML Shankaralingappa	Kannada Translator
08	Smt.Shobha Shivakumar	PA to Secretary
09	J.P. Ramesh	Assistant
10	B.S.Rathnakumar	Data Entry Operator

OFFICERS /OFFICIALS ON CONTRACT BASIS:

Sl.No.	Name	Designation
01	Shaik Ahmed	Secretary
02	M.Nagaraj	Director (Technical)
03	Safiulla Khan	Director (Tariff)
04	P.R.Divakara Naik	Dy. Director (Distribution)
05	B.K.Kanvi	Dy. Director (Legal)
06	S.Chandrashekar	Asst. Secretary
07	N.M.Krishnakumar	Asst. Accounts Officer
08	S.N.Atmaramagowa	Asst. Accounts Officer

09	K.Sreepada Rao	Office Manager
10	S.Krishnamurthy	PS to Chairman
11	G.R.Krishnamurthy	PS to Member I
12	M. Bharat Kumar	PS to Ombudsman
13	R.Sheshadri	Stenographer
14	Hemalatha	Receptionist
15	Kempaiah	Driver
16	H. Chandrashekar Rao	Driver
17	Lingaraju	Driver
18	Manjunath	Driver
19	Devandran	Driver
20	R Sridhar	Office Attendant
21	BC Sunil Kumar	Office Attendant
22	H Raju	Office Attendant
23	V Manikanthan	Office Attendant
24	Ramanna	Office Attendant
25	M Manivannan	Office Attendant
26	Krishna	Office Attendant
27	Padmavathi	Office Attendant

CONSULTANTS:

Sl.No.	Name	Designation
01	P.N.Lakshmana Reddy	Consultant (Technical)
02	K.Suresh	Consultant (Technical)
03	Y.G.Muralidharan	Consultant (Consumer Advocacy)

Shri. Shaik Ahmed has been appointed as the Secretary of the Commission with effect from 2nd May 2006 on contract basis. He has also been placed in additional charge of the post of Ombudsman.

Services of Shri. KS Nagaraj, Senior Audit Officer working on deputation basis as Accounts Officer in KERC were repatriated to his parent department and relieved of his duties in the Commission on the Afternoon of 3rd May 2006.

Services of Shri.K.Ramesha, Senior Audit Officer are placed at the disposal of the Commission on deputation basis by the Indian Audit and Accounts Dept., Office of the Principal Accountant General (C&CA) Karnataka, Bangalore – 01 vide letter No. PR.AG(C&CA)/Admn.I/A7/KERC/2006-07/310 dt.28.12.2006 and the officer has reported as Accounts Officer in the Commission on the forenoon of 29.12.2006.

Services of Shri. K.S.Satishchandra, Executive Engineer (Elec) MESCOM are placed at the disposal of the Commission on deputation basis vide KPTCL's Notification KPTCL/SA.3/5756/99-2000 dtd.02.01.2007 and the officer has reported in the Commission on the forenoon of 21.02.2007. He has been posted as Deputy Director (Generation), which was vacant due to resignation tendered by Shri N. Parthasarathy.

The Officials deputed to training and seminar during the period from 1st April 2006 to 31st March 2007 are as follows:

PARTICULARS OF OFFICIALS DEPUTED FOR TRAINING AND SEMINAR:

Sl. No	Name	Designation	Period	Venue	Subject of the Training / Conference/ Seminar
01	PN Lakshman Reddy	Consultant (Tech.)	24/04/2006 to 28/04/2006	ESCI Campus, Gachi Bowli, Hyderabad	Best practices in Distribution Loss Reduction
02	PR Divakar Naik	Dy. Director (Distribution)	23/06/2006 to 24/06/2006	Hotel Atria Bangalore	Two day workshop on Earthing for Power Supply
03	BR Manjunath	Dy. Director (Demand Forecast)	26/02/2007 to 28/02/2007	TERI Guragaon	Workshop on implementation of Electricity Act 2003 and policies
04	SD Ukkali	Member (Tech.)	23/03/2007 to 1/04/2007	Boston, MA, USA	International Conference on Electric Power Apparatus Test and Maintenance.

9.0 FINANCE AND ACCOUNTS

GRANTS RECEIVED FROM THE GOVERNMENT DURING FINANCIAL YEAR 2006-07.

The State Government has not provided any Budgetary allocation for the financial year 2006-07. But the Government released Rs 170.00 lakhs during the financial year 2006-07. The same has been drawn from the Government.

The following table shows the comparison of budget estimate and actual expenses for FY-07.

In Rs. Lakhs

Major Expenditure Head	Budget Estimate	Expenditure for FY-07	Variation
Establishment expenses	101.51	99.48	(+) 2.03
Office Expenses	160.52	140.71	(+) 19.81
Office set up Expenses	25.15	12.45	(+) 12.70
TOTAL	287.18	252.64	(+) 34.54

The expenditure of Rs 252.64 lakhs was met out of Government grant and the closing balance available at the end of the FY07.

10. PROGRAMMES FOR THE YEAR AHEAD (FY 08)

The following are the various proposed action plan for FY08:

1. Review of the quantum of purchase of NCE energy by ESCOMs.

In exercise of the power vested with the Commission under Section 86(1)(e) of the Electricity Act 2003 read with Section 181 of the Act, the Commission notified KERC (Power Procurement from Renewable Sources) Regulations 2004 which came into force from 21.10.2004.

As per Clause 3.1 of the above-mentioned Regulations, each distribution licensee has to purchase a minimum quantum of 5% and a maximum quantum of 10% of electricity from the renewable sources expressed as a percentage of its total consumption during a year. Further, Clause 3.6 of the above-mentioned Regulations provides that, the Commission may review the quantum of purchase from renewable sources once in every three years. As per this provision, the review of quantum of power purchase from NCE sources by distribution licenses needs to be done by end of September 2007.

In the meanwhile GoK by Order no. EN 216 NCE 2006 dated 02.03.2007 has accorded approval for enhancement of the upper limit of share of renewable energy in the total quantum of energy purchased by each ESCOM during a year to 20%. Further, the Commission has received representation from Indian Wind Power Association and KREDL (the nodal Agency of the State for Renewable), to remove the upper limit and in case upper limit is retained, to enhance the same to 25%.

In the light of the above, a discussion paper was issued by the Commission to elicit the views of all stakeholders. The last date for receiving comments/views/suggestions from all the stakeholders was 15.06.2007. Comments from thirteen stakeholders have been received.

After detailed deliberations and considering the views of all the stakeholders, the Commission will take appropriate decision in the matter.

2. Determination of tariff under MYT framework for KPTCL/ESCOMs:

Section 61 of the Electricity Act 2003, which has come into force from 10th June 2003, among other things states that the Appropriate Commission shall be guided inter-alia by multi-year tariff principles, for determining the terms and conditions of tariff.

According to the Tariff Policy issued by the GoI on 6th January 2006, the MYT framework shall be adopted for any tariff to be determined from 1st of April 2006. The Policy has laid down the broad principles for implementation of a MYT framework. Accordingly, the Commission has already issued Regulations on 'Terms and conditions for determination of tariff for distribution and retail sale of electricity' & 'Terms and conditions for determination of transmission tariff ' on 31st May 2006.

KPTCL & ESCOMs have filed their ERC and tariff filing with the Commission under the above said regulations. The Commission has already issued the tariff order in respect of transmission on 06.07.2007 and determining distribution & retail supply tariff in respect of ESCOMs is under process.

3. Regulation on determination of tariff for generation:

During the year, the Commission proposes to issue draft regulations on determining tariff for conventional generators (Thermal & Hydro) in the State, duly calling for suggestions/views & objections from all stakeholders. After considering the suggestions, the Commission proposes to bring out regulations for determination of generation tariff. This would also enable implementation of Intra-State ABT, which requires the generation tariff to be on a two part basis.

4. Standardization of wheeling and banking agreements:

The Commission had proposed to standardize the Wheeling & Banking Agreement Formats for open Access Transactions, during the previous year. Commission pursued with the utilities to furnish a draft Agreement format for its approval. The utilities are yet to furnish the draft agreement. The Commission therefore proposes to finalize the Standardization of Wheeling & Banking Agreements for Open access consumers during the this year.

5. Truing up exercise of KPTCL/ESCOMs for previous years.

The Hon'ble ATE has issued several orders relating to other SERCs on truing up exercise and has emphasized the need to take up truing up exercise for the past years if the same has not been done by the State Commissions. Also in view of the implementation of MYT framework from FY08, the baseline figures should have a clean slate. In view of this the Commission has decided to take up truing up exercise for the previous years. In the Tariff Order dated 06.07.2007 truing up exercise in respect of ERCs of KPTCL has been done for the period from 2001 to 2006. The Commission proposes to take up the truing up exercise in respect of the ESCOMs as well, in the ERC/Tariff orders to be issued by the Commission . In this exercise, the Commission would look into various expenses/Revenue allowed by the Commission vis-à-vis the actual expenses incurred and revenue collected as per audited accounts. This exercise would be consistent with the various decisions taken in the previous Tariff Orders.

6. Regulation on minimum deposits of consumers to be held by licensee.

Prior to the enactment of the Electricity Act 2003, the Consumers in the State of Karnataka were required to pay 3 Months Minimum Deposit

(MMD) as Security Deposit as per KERC (ES &D) Code, 2000-01. On the petition No. D/07/2002 of the Consumers, KERC vide its Order dated 20th May 2004, had revised the 3 MMD to 2 MMD. KPTCL & others challenged this order before the Hon'ble Appellate Tribunal for Electricity (ATE). The Hon'ble ATE vide its Order dated 23.01.2007 in Appeal No.69 of 2006, has directed that the Security Deposit must be paid in accordance with the Regulations. Further, ATE has held that keeping in view the practicalities and realities of the situation, the security amount covering power supply charges corresponding to two months power supply bills is not adequate and has to be more than that which shall be determined by the Commission for the future. The Appellate Tribunal for Electricity has also noted that the total number of days between consumption and payment including 15 days' notice for disconnection in the event of default would work out to 72 days.

As stipulated under Clause 30.02 (Initial Security Deposit-ISD) and 30.03 (Additional Security Deposit-ASD) of Conditions of Supply of Electricity of the Distribution Licensees in the State of Karnataka, the ISD & ASD shall be as determined by the Commission.

In exercise of the powers conferred under section 181 read with section 47 of the Electricity Act 2003 (Central Act 36 of 2003) and all powers enabling it in that behalf, the Karnataka Electricity Regulatory Commission had brought out draft Regulations inviting comments/views/objections from all the stakeholders. The Commission also proposes to conduct a hearing in the matter to consider the views of the stakeholders. The Commission would finalize the Regulations after taking due note of the views/comments/objections from the licensees & other stakeholders.

7. Annual Review of Performance of KPTCL/ESCOMs

As per the MYT Tariff Regulations, the Commission will carry out Annual Review of Performance of KPTCL/ESCOMs on various key parameters. The

review will enable analysis of actual performance vis-à-vis the targets/benchmarks fixed by the Commission in its MYT order. This analysis will facilitate providing incentives for any over performance or imposing penalties for any under performance. These reviews will be conducted based on applications filed by the licensees as per the audited accounts of the utilities.

11. USE OF KANNADA IN THE COMMISSION

ಆಯೋಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡ

ವಿದ್ಯುಚ್ಛಕ್ತಿ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಳಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಪಾರಿಭಾಷಿಕ ಮತ್ತು ತಾಂತ್ರಿಕ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯನಿಗೂ ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುವ ಹಾಗೆ ಪುನೆಯ ಪ್ರಯಾಸ್ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಿರುವ “KNOW YOUR POWER” ಇಂಗ್ಲೀಷ್ ಕೃತಿಯ ಮಹತ್ವ ಅರಿತ ಆಯೋಗವು, ಅದನ್ನು ಇಡೀ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕದ ಜನತೆಯ ಉಪಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಕನ್ನಡಕ್ಕೆ ಭಾಷಾಂತರಿಸಿ, ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಿರುವುದು ಆಯೋಗದ ಕಳಕಳಿಯನ್ನು ತೋರಿಸುತ್ತದೆ.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿದ್ಯುಚ್ಛಕ್ತಿ ನಿಯಂತ್ರಣ ಆಯೋಗದ ವ್ಯವಹರಣೆಯು ಕನ್ನಡ ಮತ್ತು ಇಂಗ್ಲೀಷ್ ಭಾಷೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿದೆ. ~~ಈ ವರ್ಷ ೨೦೦೬-೦೭ನೆಯ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ,~~ ಒಂಬಡ್ಡಮನ್ ಅವರು ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದ ಆದೇಶಗಳನ್ನು ಕನ್ನಡಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುವಾದಗೊಳಿಸಿ ಉಭಯ ಪಕ್ಷಕಾರರಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಎಂದಿನಂತೆ, ಆಯೋಗದ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ವರದಿ ಸೇರಿದಂತೆ ದೈನಿಕವಾದ ಅನೇಕ ದಸ್ತಾವೇಜುಗಳನ್ನು ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಗೆ ಅನುವಾದಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಯಿತು. ಜನಜೀವನದ ಬದುಕಿಗೆ ಅಗತ್ಯವೆನಿಸಿರುವ ವಿದ್ಯುತ್ತಿನ ಉತ್ಪಾದನೆ, ಪ್ರಸರಣ ಮತ್ತು ವಿತರಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿನ ಪ್ರಗತಿ, ವ್ಯತ್ಯಯ ಮೊದಲಾದ ವಿಷಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಬೆಳವಣಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ವಿಶೇಷ ಅಂಕಣದ ಮೂಲಕವೂ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಮಾಧ್ಯಮಗಳು ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸುತ್ತಿವೆ. ಈ ದಿನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಗ್ರಾಹಕ ಸ್ನೇಹಿ ಆಯೋಗದ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳಿಗೂ ಕೂಡ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ನಿಗಾವಹಿಸಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸುತ್ತಿವೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಆಯೋಗ ಧನ್ಯವಾದ ಹೇಳುತ್ತದೆ. ಆಯೋಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಯ ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ.ಎಸ್. ಶಶಿಧರ್, ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರು (ದರ), ಅವರು ಕನ್ನಡದ ಬಳಕೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರೀತಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು. ಅವರ ಅಕಾಲಿಕ ನಿಧನದಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯುತ್ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಚಿಂತನೆಗೆ ತುಂಬಲಾರದ ನಷ್ಟವಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಆಯೋಗ ಸಂತಾಪವ್ಯಕ್ತಪಡಿಸಿ, ಭಾವಪೂರ್ಣ ಶ್ರದ್ಧಾಂಜಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದೆ.ಕ

Annex - 1.1
Organisational Chart

Annex - 2.1

List of PPAs Approved by the Commission during 2006-07

BESCOM All Wind Power Projects

Sl. no	Name of the developer	Location of the proposed project/	Capacity in MW	KERC letter no in which PPA is approved
1	M/s Mumbai Stock Brokers, 10A, 1st Floor, Botawala Building, Horniman Circle, Fort Mumbai 400 023	Kereyagalhalli Village, Hiriyur Taluk, Chitradurga District	0.8	S/03/3/773 dated 11.05.2006
2	M/s M.K. Agrotech Pvt. Ltd., Vegetable Oil refinery, 389, Kaveri Layout, P.B. No. 20, Srirangapatana, Mandya District	Goolihosahalli Village, Hiriyur Taluk, Chitradurga District	1.6	S/03/3/773 dated 11.05.2006
3	M/s Enercon Windfarms Ltd., Kolsite House, Plot no. 31, Shah Industrial estate, Veera Desai Road, Andheri(West), Mumbai 400 053	Bramhapura Village, Hiriyur Taluk, Chitradurga District	8.8	S/03/3/773 dated 11.05.2006
4	M/s India Power Corporation Ltd., 'Viswakarma', 86C, Topsia Road (South), Kolkata 700 046	Lakkihalli Village, Hosadurga Taluk, Chitradurga District	2.4	S/03/3/773 dated 11.05.2006
5	M/s India Power Corporation Ltd., 'Viswakarma', 86C, Topsia Road (South), Kolkata 700 046	Goolihosahalli Village, Holalkere Taluk, Chitradurga District	3.4	S/03/3/773 dated 11.05.2006
6	M/s Siddaganga Oil Extractions Ltd, P.B. No. 133, N.H. 4 Tumkur 572 103	Goolihosahalli Village, Hiriyur Taluk, Chitradurga District	1.6	S/03/3/773 dated 11.05.2006
7	M/s Prasad Technology Park (P) Ltd., 285/9, 17th Cross, Upper Palace Orchard, Sadashivanagar, Bangalore 560 080	Lakkihalli Village, Hiriyur Taluk, Chitradurga District	1.6	S/03/3/773 dated 11.05.2006
8	M/s India Power Corporation Ltd., 'Viswakarma', 86C, Topsia Road (South), Kolkata 700 046	Lakkihalli Village, Hosadurga Taluk, Chitradurga District	4.8	S/03/3/773 dated 11.05.2006
9	M/s Allegro Ventures India Pvt. Ltd., Mangalore	Nagtibassapur & Shivalinganahalli Villages Bellary District	1.25	S/03/3/831 dated 24.05.2006
10	M/s Research Dev & Mfg	Kelur & Harugere	1.25	S/03/3/831

	Corporation, Bangalore	Villages, Gadag District/		dated 24.05.2006
11	M/s Vandana Global Ltd. Raipur	Harugere Village, Gadag District	1.25	S/03/3/831 dated 24.05.2006
12	Ensemble Infrastructures (I) Ltd. Pune	Mustikopa Village Gadag District	1.25	S/03/3/831 dated 24.05.2006
13	M/s Salgaokar Brothers, Goa	Harugere Village, Gadag District	3.75	S/03/3/831 dated 24.05.2006
14	M/s Triveni Enterprises Bangalore	Keluru & Harugere Villages Gadag District/1.25 MW	1.25	S/03/3/831 dated 24.05.2006
15	BSK Trading Bangalore	Keluru & Harugere Villages Gadag District	1.25	S/03/3/831 dated 24.05.2006
16	M/s Shakambari Enterprise Bangalore	Keluru & Harugere Villages Gadag District/1.25 MW	1.25	S/03/3/831 dated 24.05.2006
17	M/s E. Ramamurthy Bangalore	Keluru & Harugere Villages Gadag District	1.25	S/03/3/831 dated 24.05.2006
18	M/s Globe Transport Co. Pvt. Ltd. Ahmedabad	Mustikopa Village Gadag District/1.25 MW	1.25	S/03/3/831 dated 24.05.2006
19	M/s Indian Wind Energy Association Ahmedabad	Harugere Village Gadag District	1.25	S/03/3/831 dated 24.05.2006
20	M/s Nav Bharat International Ltd Delhi	Mustikopa Village Gadag District	1.25	S/03/3/831 dated 24.05.2006
21	M/s Vishwa Vishal Engineering Ltd Mumbai	Mustikopa Village Gadag District	1.25	S/03/3/831 dated 24.05.2006
22	M/s Cauvery Coffee Traders, Mangalore	Gulihosahalli Village, Chitradurga District	0.8	S/03/3/831 dated 24.05.2006
23	M/s Unnathi Projects Ltd, Bangalore	Lakkiahalli Village, Chitradurga District	0.8	S/03/3/831 dated 24.05.2006
24	M/s Balasaheb Ladkat, Pune	Lingadevarahalli Village, Chitradurga District	1.6	S/03/3/831 dated 24.05.2006
25	M/s Panama Infrastructure Park Pvt Ltd. Pune	Gulihosahalli & Mulashiranahalli Chitradurga District	1.6	S/03/3/831 dated 24.05.2006
26	M/s Gautam Ladkat Pune	Lakkiahalli Village Chitradurga District	0.8	S/03/3/831 dated 24.05.2006
27	M/s Ramco Industries Ltd	Goolihosahalli Village	1.6	S/03/3/831

		Chitradurga District		dated 24.05.2006
28	M/s D.R. Container Terminal Mumbai	Kereyagalhalli & Mulashiranahalli Villages Chitradurga District	1.6	S/03/3/831 dated 24.05.2006
29	M/s Gemcrafts Enterprises Pvt Ltd Jaipur	Kenkere Village Chitradurga District	0.8	S/03/3/831 dated 24.05.2006
30	M/s Elpro International Ltd. Pune	Goolihosahalli Village Chitradurga District	0.8	S/03/3/831 dated 24.05.2006
31	M/s Sameer Laddkat Pune	Goolihosahalli Village Chitradurga District	0.8	S/03/3/831 dated 24.05.2006
32	M/s Abletech Electro Engineers Pvt Ltd	Borenahalli & Mattighatta Villages Chitradurga District	1.25	S/03/3/831 dated 24.05.2006
33	M/s CBM Corporation, Hubli 580 021	Borehalli & Mathighatta Villages in Chitradurga District	0.8	S/03/3/836 dated 24.05.2006
34	Bellary Iron Ores Pvt Ltd, Bellary	Hullur and Bettadanagenahalli in Chitradurga District	2.5	S/03/3/913 dated 05.06.2006
35	M/s MSPL Ltd Mumbai	Chigateri & Konganahosur villages, Harapanahalli Taluk Davanagere Dist	16.25	S/03/3/964 dated 15.06.2006
36	M/s MSPL Ltd Mumbai	Sogi village, Hadagali Taluk Bellary Dist	13.75	S/03/3/964 dated 15.06.2006
37	M/s MSPL Ltd Mumbai	Sogi village, Hadagali Taluk Bellary Dist	11.25	S/03/3/964 dated 15.06.2006
38	Mineral enterprises	Borenahalli Village Chitradurga Dt.	2.4	S/03/3/128 dated 11.07.2006
39	Gemcrafts Enterprises	Lakkihalli Village Chitradurga District	0.8	S/03/3/128 dated 11.07.2006
40	M/s MSPL Ltd., Mumbai	Jogimatti Village, Chitradurga District	3.75	S/03/3/1284/ dated 04.08.2006
41	M/s MSPL Ltd., Mumbai	Konganahosur Village, Davanagere District	5.0	S/03/3/1284/ dated 04.08.2006
42	M/s MSPL Ltd., Mumbai	Jogimatti Village, Chitradurga District	1.25	S/03/3/1284/ dated 04.08.2006
43	M/s V.S.L. Mining	Devagondanahalli	6.25	S/03/3/1283/

	Company, Sandur	Village, Huvina Hadagali taluk, Bellary District		dated 04.08.2006
44	M/s Mahalaxmi Commercial Services Ltd, Mumbai	Nagatibassapura village, Huvina Hadagali Taluk Bellary District	1.25	S/03/3/1283/ dated 04.08.2006
45	M/s Siddhayu Ayurvedic Research Foundation Pvt Ltd	Devagoundanahalli Village Huvina Hadagali Taluk Bellary District	2.50	S/03/3/1283/ dated 04.08.2006
47	M/s Markdata Power & Energy Ltd. Hyderabad	Devagoundanahalli Village Huvina Hadagali Taluk Bellary District	1.25	S/03/3/1283/ dated 04.08.2006
48	M/s V.S.L. Mining Company, Sandur	Nagatibassapura & Shivalinganahalli villages, Huvina Hadagali Taluk Bellary District	2.50	S/03/3/1283/ dated 04.08.2006
49	Rameshkumar Hanjarimal	Wind mill Mustikoppa village Gadag District	1.25	S/03/3/1525 dated 22.09.2006
50	Ramgad Mineral & Mining Pvt Ltd. Hospet	Konganahosur Village harapanahalli Taluk Davanagere district	17.50	S/03/3/1525 dated 22.09.2006

BESCOM Mini-Hydel Projects

Sl. No	Name of the developer	Location of the proposed project/	Capacity in MW	KERC letter no in which PPA is approved
1	M/s Pioneer Power Corporation Ltd.,	Across Cauvery River near Shivasamudram Village in Kollegal Taluk	24.75	S/03/3/836 dated 24.05.2006

CESC Mini-Hydel Project

Sl. No	Name of the developer	Location of the proposed project/Capacity in MW	Capacity in MW	KERC letter no in which PPA is approved
1	Venika Green Power Pvt Ltd Bangalore 560 010	Mini-Hydel Project across Maddur Branch Canal near H. Malligere Village in Maddur Taluk	0.75	S/03/3/835 dated 24.05.2006
2	Atria Brindavan Power Ltd	Srirangapatna Taluk Mandya	4.00	S/03/3/1458/ dated 07.09.2006
3	Limbavalli Power Pvt Ltd	Dargam Village Mandya District	12.00	S/03/0/1866 dated 05.12.2006

GESCOM Co-generation Project

Sl. No	Name of the developer	Location of the proposed project/Capacity in MW	Capacity in MW	KERC letter no in which PPA is approved
1	Chincholi Sugar Mills Ltd Chincholi	Chincholi Gulbarga District	26.00	S/03/3/835 dated 24.05.2006
2	Tungabhadra Power Co. Ltd	Singatalur Weir Hadagalli	18.00	/03/3/1512 dated 22.09.2006
3	Chandelier Power Gen Ltd.	Humnabad Taluk, Bidar District	7.50	S/03/0 dated 09.02.2007

HESCOM - All Wind-mill Power Projects

Sl. No	Name of the developer	Location of the proposed project and capacity	Capacity in MW	KERC letter no in which PPA is approved
1	Suresh Kumar Sharma HUF	Hanagod Village gadag district	1.25	S/03/0/1870 dated 05.12.2006
2	Revathi Raju	Hirevadatthi Village Gadag District	2.50	S/03/0/1870 dated 05.12.2006
3	Bhagynagar Industries Ltd	Harogiri Village Gadag District	2.50	S/03/0/1870 dated 05.12.2006
4	Bhagynagar Industries Ltd	Hirevadatthi Village Gadag District	2.50	S/03/0/1870 dated 05.12.2006
5	Happy Valley Developers	Harogeri Village Gadag District	1.25	S/03/0/1870 dated 05.12.2006
6	Nuziveedu Seeds	Hirevadatti Village gadag District	5.00	S/03/0/1870 dated 05.12.2006
7	MPR Wind farms	Hirevadatti Village gadag District	1.25	S/03/0/1870 dated 05.12.2006
8	Vijayananda Roadlines	Harogeri Village Gadag District	2.50	S/03/0/1870 dated 05.12.2006
9	Vijayananda Roadlines	Hirevadatti Village Gadag District	10.00	S/03/0/1870 dated 05.12.2006
10	V.S. Lad & Sons	Kalkeri Village Gadag District	6.25	S/03/3/1109 dated 10.07.2006

Sl. No	Name of the developer	Location of the proposed project and capacity	Capacity in MW	KERC letter no in which PPA is approved
11	VSL Mining Co. (P) Ltd	Kalkeri Village Gadag District	6.25	S/03/3/1109 dated 10.07.2006
12	VSL Mining Co. (P) Ltd	Mustikoppa Village gadag District	2.50	S/03/3/1109 dated 10.07.2006

HESCOM - All Mini-Hydel Power Projects

Sl. No	Name of the developer	Location of the proposed project and capacity	Capacity in MW	KERC letter no in which PPA is approved
1	Jasper Energy Pvt Ltd.	Sinadagi Taluk, Bijapur District	10.50	S/03/0/2323 dated 16.03.2007

HESCOM - All Co-gen Power Projects

Sl. No	Name of the developer	Location of the proposed project and capacity	Capacity in MW	KERC letter no in which PPA is approved
1	Hiranyakeshi SSKN Ltd	Sankeshwar	41.00	S/03/3/1513 dated 22.09.2006
2	Sadashiva Sugars Ltd	Nayanegalli Village Bagalkot District	16.00	S/03/0/2319 dated 16.03.2007
3	Indian Cane Power Ltd	Uthur Village Mudhol Taluk Bagalkot District	28.00	S/03/0/2319 dated 16.03.2007
4	Nirani Sugars	Kulali cross Mudhol taluk Bagalkot district	16.00	S/03/0/2319 dated 16.03.2007
5	Bharath Sugar Mills Ltd	Puliatti Village, Haliyal taluk Uttara kannada district	24.00	S/03/0/2345/ dated 26.03.2007

MESCOM All Mini Hydel Schemes

Sl. No	Name of the developer	Location of the proposed project/Capacity in MW	Capacity in MW	KERC letter no in which PPA is approved
1	M/s Devar Power Pvt. Ltd. Bangalore	Across Swarna river, near Hiriyadka Village Udupi Taluk	3.00	S/03/0/1073 dated 03.07.2006
2	M/s SRM Power Pvt. Ltd. Bangalore	Samshe Village Mudigere Taluk, Chickmagalur District	6.00	S/03/0/1073 dated 03.07.2006

Sl. No	Name of the developer	Location of the proposed project/Capacity in MW	Capacity in MW	KERC letter no in which PPA is approved
3	M/s Mrujaara Power Plant, Bangalore	Anveri Branch Canal, Near Agaradahalli Village, Bhadravathi Taluk, Shimoga District	1.50	S/03/0/1073 dated 03.07.2006
4	Sandur Power co.	Varahi River Kundapur taluk	15.00	S/03/0/1148 dated 14.07.2006
5	Shyamaili Hydrel	Varahi River Mini-hydel	12.00	S/03/03/1511 dated 20.09.2006
6	AMR Power Ltd.	Mini-Hydel Across nethravathi River	24.00	S/03/03/1464 dated 07.09.2006
7	Sai Deepti Power Pvt Ltd	Mini-Hydel Bhadra RBC near B.R. project shimoga	1.50	S/03/03/1861 dated 30.11.2006

MESCOM - All Wind-mill Power Projects

Sl. No	Name of the developer	Location of the proposed project and capacity	Capacity in MW	KERC letter no in which PPA is approved
1	M/s Mineral Enterprises, Bangalore	Lakkihalli and Kenkere Villages in Chitradurga District	5.60	S/03/3/1109 dated 10.07.2006
2	M/s Mineral Enterprises, Bangalore	Kereyagalhalli Village, Hiriur Taluk, Chitradurga District	4.00	S/03/3/1109 dated 10.07.2006
3	M/s Lovely Fragarances, Mumbai	Goolihosahalli Village, Hiriur Taluk, Chitradurga District	0.80	S/03/3/1109 dated 10.07.2006
4	M/s Dewanchand Ramasaran, Mumbai	Lakkihalli Village, Hosadurga Taluk, Chitradurga District	0.80	S/03/3/1109 dated 10.07.2006
5	M/s Steel Fabs Offshore, New Delhi	Lakkihalli Village, Hosadurga Taluk, Chitradurga District	3.20	S/03/3/1109 dated 10.07.2006
6	M/s Desai Brothers Ltd., Pune	Gundikeri Village, Holalkere Taluk,	0.80	S/03/3/1109 dated 10.07.2006

Sl. No	Name of the developer	Location of the proposed project and capacity	Capacity in MW	KERC letter no in which PPA is approved
		Chitradurga District		
7	M/s Dinesh Pouches, Jodhpur	Gundikeri Village, Holalkere Taluk, Chitradurga District	0.80	S/03/3/1109 dated 10.07.2006
8	M/s Ushdev International Ltd., Mumbai	Gundikeri Village, Holalkere Taluk, Chitradurga District	1.60	S/03/3/1109 dated 10.07.2006
9	M/s Srinivas Sirigere, Mumbai	Janakal Village, Hosadurga Taluk, Chitradurga District	0.80	S/03/3/1109 dated 10.07.2006
10	M/s R.K. Marble Private Ltd., Kishangarh Rajasthan	Janakal Village, Hosadurga Taluk, Chitradurga District	0.80	S/03/3/1109 dated 10.07.2006
11	Dempo Industries Pvt. Ltd., Panaji, Goa	Janakal Village, Hosadurga Taluk, Chitradurga District	0.80	S/03/3/1109 dated 10.07.2006
12	M/s Ghodawath Industries, Kolhapur	Lakkihalli Village, Hosadurga Taluk, Chitradurga District	5.60	S/03/3/1109 dated 10.07.2006
13	MSPL Ltd Mumbai	Neregal gudi Village Chennagiri Taluk	6.00	S/03/03/1468 dated 04.09.2006
14	Vengannachetty & Bros	Yelavada Halli, Chennagiri taluk	0.60	S/03/03/1468 dated 04.09.2006
15	V.S. Lad & Sons	Wind mill Neralagudi Village Honnali taluk	12.20	S/03/03/1467 dated 07.09.2006
16	Y. Mahabaleswarappa	Urulihalli Village Chennagairi taluk	1.20	S/03/03/1467 dated 07.09.2006
17	R.B. Sheth Sreeram Narasuingadas	Urulihalli Aral;ipura Village Chennagairi taluk	2.40	S/03/03/1467 dated 07.09.2006
18	V.T. Switcgear Ltd	Yelavada Halli, Chennagiri taluk	0.60	S/03/03/1467 dated 07.09.2006
19	Enercon India Ltd	Singathlur G0adag district	22.80	S/03/03/1701/31.10.2006

Annexe - 3.1

Details of Petitions/Review petitions received in the Commission

Sl. No.	Case Number	Date of Filing	Parties	Subject in Brief	KERC Order Date	Brief Details
ORIGINAL PETITIONS						
1.	OP 18/06	27-3-2006	Sri B.C. Udupudi of Lokapur Vs. MD, Hescom and others	BBC	Pending	---
2.	OP 19/06	5-4-2006	Sri S. Papaiah Reddy, Bangalore Vs. MD, Bescom and others	BBC	Pending	---
3.	OP 20/06 & 21/06	7-4-2006	M/s. Rock Minerals, by its partners Vs. MD, CESCO and others	BBC	Pending	---
4.	OP 22/06	12-4-2006	M/s. Santhosh Rice Mill, Veerapura Vs. MD, MESCOM and Others	BBC	Pending	---
5.	OP 23/06	18-4-2006	M/s. KMC Rice Mill of Anandapuram Vs. MD, Mescom and others	BBC	Pending	---
6.	OP 24/06	26-4-2006	M/s. Graphite India Limited, Kolkatta Vs. MD, KPTCL and Another	Termination of PPA	Pending	---
7.	OP 25/06	9-5-2006	M/s. SCM Sugars Bangalore, Vs. State and others	Termination of PPA	30-11-06	The petition was disposed off
8.	OP 26/06	2-6-2006	M/s. R.K. Powergen Pvt. Ltd., Vs. MD, KPTCL and another	PPA	6-7-2006	The petition was disposed off as with drawn
9.	OP 27/06	13-6-2006	M/s. Vishwanath Sugars, Belladabagewadi Vs. MD, KPTCL and another	PPA	18-1-2007	The petition was disposed off
10.	OP 28/06	2-6-2006	Sri T.N. Subbarao, Anandpuram Vs. MD, MESCOM and others	3 MMD	1-2-2007	The case was disposed off

11.	OP 29/06	23-6- 2006	Sri S.M. Byregowda, Kanakatte V/s. MD, MESCOM and others	BBC	Pending	---
12.	OP 30/06	23-6- 2006	M/s Ruby Tools, Mysore V/s. MD, CESCO and others	BBC	Pending	---
13.	OP 31/06	27-6- 2006	Sri V. Rathnam of Shakthi Industries, Thavarekere, Mandya V/s. MD, CESCO and others	BBC	Pending	---
14.	OP 32/06	28-6- 2006	M/s. Poweronics Limited, Bellary V/s. State and others	Termination of PPA	3-5-2007	Petition was disposed off
15.	OP 33/06	30-6- 2006	Sri Fayaz Ahmed, Bellary V/s. MD, GESCOM and others	BBC	Pending	---
16.	OP 34/06	6-7- 2006	M/s. Bhagyanagar Solvents V/s. State and others	Termination of PPA	Pending	---
17.	OP 35/06	7-7- 2006	Sri Ramesh Babu, Chamarajapuram V/s. MD, CESCO and others	BBC	Pending	---
18.	OP 36/06	11-7- 2006	Sri Indira Power Energies, Chikkajantkal V/s. State and others	Termination of PPA	Pending	---
19.	OP 37/06	22-8- 2006	M/s. GOKHATAK Enterprises, Shimoga V/s. MD, MESCOM and others	Augmentation charges	Pending	---
20.	OP 38/06	17-8- 2006	Sri Renuka Sugars, Belgaum V/s. MD, KPTCL and others	PPA	Pending	---
21.	OP 39/06	22-8- 2006	M/s. Bannari Amman Bangalore V/s. State and others	PPA	Pending	---
22.	OP 40/06	23-8- 2006	M/s. Davanagere Sugar Company Limited V/s. KPTCL and others	PPA	Pending	---
23.	OP 41/06	14-9- 2006	M/s. Rajapalyam Mills, Tamilnadu V/s. MD, KPTCL.	Wheeling and Banking	Pending	---
24.	OP 42/06	29-9- 2006	M/s. Madras Cements, Chennai V/s. KPTCL and others	Wheeling and Banking	Pending	---

25.	OP 43/06	29-9- 2006	M/s. Vishwanath Sugars, Belladabagewadi V/s. MD, KPTCL and others	Open Access	16-11- 2006	Petition was disposed off
26.	OP 44/06	31-8- 2006	Sri Doodhganga Krishna Sahakari Karkane Niyamit, Chikkodi V/s. MD, KPTCL and others	PPA	Pending	---
27.	OP 45/06	1-9- 2006	Sri S. Kumar, Mysore V/s. MD, CESCO and another	BBC	Pending	---
28.	OP 46/06	25-9- 2006	M/s. Danddeli Ferro Limited, V/s. The State and another	Fixation of Tariff at concessional rate	30-11-06	The Petition was rejected on maintainabilit y
29.	OP 47/06	27-10- 2006	M/s. Polyhydron Private limited, Macche, Belgaum V/s. MD, HESCOM and others	Changing of LT to HT Installation	3-5-2007	The petition was dismissed as inadmissible
30.	OP 48/06	31-7- 2006	MD, BESCO	PPA	Pending	---
31.	OP 49/06	21-11- 2006	M/s. Santoshimatha Power Pvt. Ltd., Andhra Pradesh V/s. MD, KPTCL	PPA	Pending	---
32.	OP 50/06	21-11- 2006	M/s. Rajabhaskar Pvt. Ltd., Andhra Pradesh V/s. MD, KPTCL	PPA	Pending	---
33.	OP 51/06	4-12- 2006	M/s. Global Energy, Mumbai V/s. MD, KPTCL	Implementatio n of Commission's order	3-5-2007	The petition was disposed off
34.	OP 01/07	2-1- 2007	Dheeru Power, Hyderabad V/s. MD, KPTCL	Termination of PPA	Pending	---
35.	OP 02- 04/07	31-1- 2007	Sri Srinivasamurthy & Two Others V/s. MD, Bescom and others	Reg. Compensation	29-3-07	The petition was disposed off
36.	OP 05/07	8-2- 07	Sri Dhoodganga Krishna Sahakari Karkene Niyamit, Chikkodi V/s. MD, KPTCL and others	Open Access	29-3-07	The petition was disposed off

37.	OP 06/07	25-1- 07	M/s. Enercon Wind Farms India Limited, Mumbai V/s. MD, KPTCL and another	PPA	Pending	---
38.	OP 07/07	13-2- 2007	Sri Dhoodganga Krishna Sahakari Karkane Niyamit, Belgaum V/s. MD, HESCOM and another	Release of Payment	29-3-07	The petition was disposed off
39.	OP 08/07	20-2- 2007	M/s. Koppal Green Power Limited V/s. State and others	Termination of PPA	Pending	---
40.	OP 09/07	27-3- 2007	M/s. Enercon Wind Farms, Karnataka V/s. MD, BESCO	Illegal deductions under PPA	Pending	---

REVIEW PETITIONS

NIL

APPEAL PETITIONS

NIL

Annex - 3.2

**LIST OF PENDING CASES AT HIGH COURT & SUPREME COURT
WHERE THE KERC IS A PARTY**

Sl. No.	No.	Between	In the matter of	Remarks
1.	WP No.12426	Shri G.P.Shivaprakash Vs. 1)The State of Karnataka, Dept. of Energy, 2)KERC, 3)KPTCL, 4)Suptd. Engineer, Bangalore Circle (North), KPTCL, B'lore.	Declaring Section 9.02 and 9.04 of the ES & D Code as unconstitutional. Direct Respondent 3 & 4 to accept the development charge.	Pending before the High Court. The Hon'ble High Court in its order dated 26.4.02 on IA 2 filed by the petitioner directed the respondents 3 & 4 to give necessary electricity connection. The payment now made by the Petitioner pursuant to Annex.A shall be subject to the result of the W.P. Question of payment of interest by KERC in the event of the petitioner succeeds in the W.P. The said point is kept open. Vakalath served on 16.4.2002, 2)Notice has been received by KERC on 2.4.02. 3)Vide our office letter dated 5.7.02 we had requested the Advocate to bring the order dated 19.1.2001 passed in W.P.No.27938/96 at the time of hearing the petition.
2.	MFA No.4795/02 SLP No. 23793/04 & SLP No. 18607/04	M./s Jindal Thermal Power Co. Ltd. Vs. 1)KPTCL, 2)Govt. of Karnataka, Energy department	PPA	Special Leave Petition filed before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its order dated 28-3-05 requests the Hon'ble Chief Justice for listing the matters before an appropriate larger bench. All the questions to be raised by either of the parties and reliefs claimed or left open.
3.	MFA NO.4274/02	1)Sri C.V.Gopala Krishna, 2)Shri D.Bheema Bhat, 3)Sri M.Venkatakrishna, 4)Sri K.Venkatramana Bhat Vs. 1)KERC, 2)KPTCL, 3)MESCOM.	Quash the Tariff Order dated 8.5.2002 passed by Respondent 1 (KERC) and in the alternative amended Tariff approved under	Notice received on 5.9.2002. Vakalath filed on 26.9.02. Pending for hearing.

			section 27 (10) of the KER Act. 1999 2)IA I filed for staying the operation of the order dated 8.5.2002 in respect of LT2A i.e. AEH category and LT4 category i.e. applicable to agricultural pump set category.	
4.	MFA NO.5185/02 (N/09/02 OP No.6/2002)	Secretary, BWSSB Vs. KPTCL	MFA filed against the order dated 7.6.2002 passed in case No.OP 6/2002 upholding the decision of the respondent to levy Electricity charges under Tariff Reading HT 2(a) of KERC Tariff Order 2000 for the Sewage Treatment Plants of the Appellant. IA I filed praying to restrain the Respondent from taking any action for recovery of the disputed the dues including disconnection of electricity of the Appellant	High Court Order dated 2.9.2002 directed the Respondent not to disconnect the electricity supply for non payment of disputed electricity charges on IA 1. The High Court in its letter dated 17.9.2002 has requested this office to send entire records in OP No.6/2000 for which we have sent the entire records to the said court on 4.10.2002. Except this we have not received any MFA record or notice.
5.	MFA No.6225/02	KPCL Vs. 1)KERC, 2)KPTCL	Set aside the order dated 25.7.02 of the Commission	Case is pending for hearing.
6.	MFA No. 1557/03	BESCOM Vs. KERC	Special Scheme	Notice along with petition received from the High Court on 24.3.2003. Vakalath along with petition sent to Dua Associates on 28.3.2003. The Hon'ble High Court has passed the order on I.A. No.1 dated 29.4.2003

				staying the direction issued to the Appellant by the Commission will be in force for a period of 8 weeks. The Hon'ble High Court in its order dated 23.7.03 has continued the stay till further orders.
7.	MFA 3457/2003	KPTCL and other four Companies Vs. KERC	Challenging the Order of the Commission in RP No.3/2003 dated 9.5.2003.	Copy of MFA received from the KPTCL Advocate on 16.6.2003. Vakalath along with copy of MFA sent to Dua Associates on 18.6.2003. Vakalath filed on 23.6.03.
8.	MFA No. 3169/03 (RES)	Shri C.V. Gopala Krishna Vs. KERC	Setting aside the order dated 10.3.2003	Notice dated 30.7.03 along with petition received from the High Court and Vakalath sent to Dua Associates on 20.8.03. Vakalath filed on 28.8.03.
9.	MFA No. 3725/03 (RES-)	KPTCL Vs. Shri Y.G.Muralidharan, Consultant (CA), KERC, Bangalore	Setting aside the order dated 27.3.2003 passed in OP 24/2002.	Copy of petition, notice and vakalath sent to Dua Associates on 5.9.03. Vakalath filed on 9.9.03
10.	MFA No. 4256/03 (RES)	KPCL Vs. KERC	Setting aside the impugned order dated 10.4.03 passed by the Commission.	Copies of petition, notice and vakalath sent to Shri B.N.Prakash, Advocate on 24.11.03. Vakalath filed by the Advocate. Objections to be filed. Case is pending.
11.	WP No.51658/582-83/2004 (GM-KPTCL)	M.B. Lakshman and others Vs. KERC and others	Quashing the Tariff Order 2002	Copy of Petition and Vakalath sent to Dua Associates on 19.2.2004. Case is pending.
12.	MFA No.8675/2003 (KERC)	MD, BESCO Vs SBI, Bangalore and another	Setting aside the order dt. 7.11.2003 passed in OP No.35/2003	Copy of Petition and Vakalath sent to Dua Associates on 27.2.2004. Vakalath filed on 1.3.04. The Hon'ble High Court in its order dated 24.6.2004 has stayed the operation of the order dated 7.11.2003 passed in OP No.35/2003 (SBI). Case is pending for final disposal.
13.	MFA No.8370/2003 (KERC)	M/s Visveswaraya Vidyuth Nigam, DG Plant Yelahanka Vs. KERC and another	Setting aside the order dated 10.10.03 passed by KERC	Copy of Notice, Petition and Vakalath sent to Shri B.N.Prakash on 10.3.2004. Vakalath filed. Objections to be filed. Case is pending.

14.	WA No.7687/2003 (GM-KEB)	M/s KPTCL Vs. KERC & another	Setting aside the order dated 12.9.03 passed in WP No.11771/2001 (GM-KEB) by the learned single Judge.	Copy of the Notice, Petition and Vakalath sent to Dua Associates on 11.3.2004. Vakalath filed on 17.3.04. Case is pending.
15.	MFA No.6891/2002 (RES)	Shri Narasimha Nayak, Secretary, Thirthahalli Tq. Niravari Pump set Balakedarara Sangha Vs. KPTCL and another	Setting aside the Tariff Order 2000 dated 8.5.2002	Copy of Notice, Petition and Vakalath sent to Dua Associates on 24.3.2004. Vakalath filed on 27.3.04. Case is pending.
16.	MFA 5640/2003 (RES)	Bhoruka Power Corporation Vs KERC and others	Determination of wheeling charges at para 13.24.2 of Tariff Order 2003	Copy Notice, Petition and Vakalath sent to Dua Associates on 1.4.2004. Vakalath field on 2.4.04. Case is pending.
17.	WP No.26853/2004 (GM-KEB)	The Godavari Sugar Mills Ltd., Mumbai Vs. KPTCL and others	Regarding PPA dated 8.9.99	Vakalath along with copy of petition sent to Dua Associates on 12.7.2004. The Hon'ble High Court in its order dated 22.7.2004 directed R1 to restore grid connection to the generating plant of the Petitioner and continue to receive supply of electricity from the Petitioner generating plant subject to the back down of generator if it is ordered on account of the system constraints subject to the result of this petition, Respondent shall pay the electricity charges at the rate of Rs.2.80ps per KW hour and the petitioner is entitled for the higher cost. Respondent shall pay the same. Two weeks time is granted to file counter. The petitioner has filed I.A. to the Hon'ble High court to receive the KERC (Power Procurement from renewable sources) i.e., Annexure Z-8 and the order dated 18-1-05 passed in

				S/03/1/682 as Annexure Z-9 passed by the Commission.
18.	WP No.37302-37307/2004 (GM-KEB)	Shri K.Nagaraj, Challakere & 5 others Vs. AEE (Ele.), Challakere & other	Not to disconnect the power supply	Copy of petition and vakalath sent to Dua Associates on 5.10.2004.
19.	MFA No.7220/02 & MFA No.5588/03	Bharatiya Kisan Sangha, U.K. & another Vs. KERC & others	Set aside the Tariff Order 2002 & 2003	Vakalath sent to Dua Associates on 11.1.2005.
20.	MFA No.4257/03	KPCL Vs. KERC & another	Set aside Para No.7.8 of the Commission's Order dated 10.4.03	Vakalath along with copy of the petition with enclosures sent to Shri B.N. Prakash, Advocate on 18.2.2005.
21.	WP No.3224-3226/ 05 (GM-KEB)	Shri R. Nagaraj Shetty & others	Quash and Struck down regulations framed by the Respondent called KERC (Recovery of Expd. for supply of Electricity) Regulations 2004.	Vakalath along with copy of the petition with enclosures sent to Dua Associates, Advocate on 9.3.2005.
22.	WP No.50393/04 (GM-KEB)	Asst.Executive Engineer (Ele.), O&M Div., KPTCL, Karwar	To Quash and Stay of Annex.E dt. 12.2.2002 passed by CEIG.	Vakalath along with copy of the petition with enclosures sent to Dua Associates, Advocate on 9.3.2005.
23.	WP No.4276/2005 (GM-KEB)	Smt.A.B.Hemavathi, Vs. MESCOM & others	To give appropriate job.	Vakalath along with copy of the petition with enclosures sent to Dua Associates, on 16.3.2005.
24.	WP No.50384-50388 /04 (GM-KEB)	The AEE (EI), KPTCL, O&M, GADAG.	Quash & Stay of Annexure A & C.	Vakalath along with copy of the petition with enclosures sent to Dua Associates, on 25.3.2005.
25.	W.P. No: 11757-05	Dr. Devika of Vidhyanagar, Hubli & others Vs. KERC & others	Provide Power Supply.	Vakalath, copies of the Notice, Interim Order and petition sent to Dua Associates on 29.4.2005.
26.	W.P. No: 10167-05	Raymond Vijay Soans Vs. Bescom & Others	Give Power Supply.	Vakalath, copies of the Notice, and petition sent to Dua Associates on 29.4.2005.
27.	W.P. No: 12408-05 GM-KPTCL	Mr. Narasimha Nayak, Vs. MD, Mescom & others.	Refund of amount	Vakalath, copies of the Notice, and petition sent to Dua Associates on 4.5.2005. Admitted on 5-4-2005.
28.	W.P. No: 17208/03 G.M. KEB	Smt.,. Suvarna Vs. KERC and others	Sanction LT Power for small scale industry	Vakalath and copy of the petition sent to Dua Associates on 20-5-2003.

29.	Appeal No: 129/05	South Sugar Mills association, Vs. KERC and others.	Reg. Quash the Commissions order Dated 18-1-2005 and 20-7-05 and frame appropriate regulations.	Vakalath and copy of the petition sent to Dua Associates on 26-10-2005.
30.	W.P. No: 3565/06	MD, BESCOM Vs, Mittal Towers, Bangalore and another.	Quash & Stay of Annexure dated 2-2-06 made in Op No. 12/05	Vakalath and copy of the petition sent to Dua Associates on 3-4-2006.
31.	APPEAL No: 41/06	M/s. Hassan Biomass V/s. MD, KPTCL and others		Pending before Electricity Tribunal, Delhi.
32.	Appeal No: 84/06	BESCOM & Others Vs. KERC	Tariff Order 2006-07. Tariff Order stayed.	Pending before Electricity Tribunal, Delhi.
33.	SLP No: 18607/04 and 23793/04	KERC Vs. Jindal		Pending before Supreme Court

Annexe - 4.1
LIST OF MEMBERS OF COMMISSION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

The Managing Director, Karnataka Power Transmission Corpn. Ltd., 'Cauvery Bhavan', K.G. Road, BANGALORE 560 009. (Phone No. 2214342/2244556)	The Managing Director, Karnataka Power Corporation Ltd., 'Shakthi Bhavan', Race Course Road, BANGALORE 560 001. (Phone No. 2255606/2204153)
B. Sathyanarayana Udupa Secretary, Bharatiya Kissan Sangha, Udupi, 1 st Floor, Vasuki Towers, Near Taluk Office, Udupi. Phone No: 0820 -2536450. Mobile: 94488-43888.	President, KEB Engineers Association, No.28, Race Course Cross Road, Anand Rao Circle, BANGALORE 560 001. (Phone No. Off: 2281049/2217227 Res: 5542787; Mobile: 98454-21111)
Shri M.G. Prabhakar, No.79, 14th Cross, 20th Main, 2nd Phase, J.P. Nagar, BANGALORE - 560 078. (Phone No. Office: 6321054 / Res.: 6594249)	Shri K.N. Venkatagiri Rao, Consumers Forum, Brasam Buildings, Nehru Maidan, SAGAR - 577 401.
G.M. Pastapur Chairman, CGRF, GESCOM, Main Road, Gulbarga - 585 102. Phone: 958472 - 2555046, 228100, Mobile: 94487 49783.	The Managing Director, Bhoruka Power Corporation Ltd., No.48, Lavelle Road, BANGALORE 560 001. (Phone No.2272271)
Shri Raghavendra Raju, S/o Shri Venkatanarayana Raju, No.574, 8th Cross, 9th 'C' Main, R.P.C. Layout, Vijayanagar, 2nd Stage, BANGALORE. Phone No: 98450 44291.	Chief Engineer (Electrical) South Western Railway, Head Quarter office, Electrical Branch, First Floor, DRM Office Building, Hubli - 580020. Phone: 0836 - 235212, 235213.
Managing Director, BESCOM, K.R. Circle, Bangalore - 560 001.	Secretary, Department of Food & Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs, Government of Karnataka, M.S. Building, Bangalore.
Shri A.S. Kulkarni, Secretary, Citizen's Forum, Ashokanagar Road, Near Sawai Gandharva Hall, Kariraj Marg, HUBLI 580 029 (Ph.No. 95836-2251231)	Managing Director, GESCOM, Gulbarga Main Road, Gulbarga - 585 102.
President, KPTCL Employees Union, 'A' Station Compound, Ananda Rao Circle, S.C. Road, BANGALORE - 560 009.	Shri Govindappa, Phone No: 2664 6409 Former Technical Member, KEB., No.603, 21st Main, IV Block, Jayanagar, BANGALORE. 560 011.
Vacant	Vacant

Invitees

1. Managing Director, HESCOM, II Floor, Eureka Junction, T.B. Road, HUBLI - 580 029.	2. Managing Director, MESCOM, Paradigm Plaza, A.B. Setty Circle, MANGALORE - 575 001.
3. Managing Director CESCO, #927, L.J. Avenue, New Kantharajurs Road, Saraswathipuram, Mysore - 570 009.	